



Multiple Subject and Single Subject Induction Program Standards

Commission on Teacher Credentialing

**Standards Adopted
*June 2008***

**Language Addressing the Teaching of English Learners
*Adopted January 2013***

Handbook Revised June 26, 2017

This handbook, like other publications of the Commission on Teacher Credentialing, is not copyright. It may be reproduced in the public interest, but proper attribution is requested.

Commission on Teacher Credentialing
1900 Capitol Avenue
Sacramento, California 95811

This handbook is available at:
<http://www.ctc.ca.gov/>

Commission on Teacher Credentialing

State of California Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

Members of the Commission

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Caleb Chung, Chair | Teacher Representative |
| Margaret Gaston, Vice Chair | Public Representative |
| Constance Baumgardt Blackburn | Teacher Representative |
| Josephine Calderon | Public Representative |
| Marlon Evans | Public Representative |
| Charles Gahagan | Teacher Representative |
| Steven Dean | Teacher Representative |
| Leslie Littman | Designee, Superintendent of Public Instruction |
| Carolyn McInerney | School Board Member |
| Irene Oropeza-Enriquez | Administrative Services Representative |
| David Pearson | Faculty Representative |
| Ting Sun | Public Representative |

Ex Officio Representatives

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Shane Martin | Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities |
| Marilyn T. McGrath | California Postsecondary Education Commission |
| Tine Sloan | University of California |
| Beverly Young | California State University |

Executive Officer

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------|
| Dale A. Janssen | Executive Director |
|-----------------|--------------------|

The Committee on Accreditation

2009

| K-12 Professionals | |
|--|--|
| <p>Carol Leighty Superintendent Temecula Valley Unified School District</p> <p>Dana Griggs Educational Consultant San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools</p> <p>Joseph Jimenez BTSA Induction Cluster Region Director Tulare County Office of Education</p> | <p>Karen O'Connor Teacher Adobe Bluffs Elementary School Poway Unified School District</p> <p>Nancy Watkins Teacher Valencia High School Placentia-Yorba Linda School District</p> <p>Joyce Abrams Retired Teacher Chula Vista Hills Elementary School Chula Vista Elementary School District</p> |
| Postsecondary Professionals | |
| <p>Ellen Curtis-Pierce Associate Vice Chancellor for Professional Accreditation and Faculty Development Chapman University College</p> <p>Gary Kinsey Associate Dean, College of Education Cal Poly Pomona University</p> <p>Reyes Quezada Professor of Education University of San Diego</p> | <p>Lynne Cook Dean, College of Education California State University, Dominguez Hills</p> <p>Ruth Sandlin Chair, Educational Psychology Calif. State University, San Bernardino</p> <p>Sue Teele Director, Education Extension University of California, Riverside</p> |

Commission Staff to the Committee on Accreditation:
Lawrence Birch, Director, Professional Services Division

Teri Clark, Administrator of Accreditation, Professional Services Division
Cheryl Hickey, Consultant, Professional Services Division
Rebecca Parker, Consultant, Professional Services Division
Teri Ackerman, Analyst, Professional Services Division

Multiple and Single Subject Induction Programs

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| Preconditions and Common Standards | 1 |
| Standard 1 Program Rationale and Design | 3 |
| Standard 2 Communication and Collaboration..... | 3 |
| Standard 3 Support Providers and Professional Development Providers | 3 |
| Standard 4 Formative Assessment System | 4 |
| Standard 5 Pedagogy | 5 |
| Standard 6 Universal Access | 5 |

Preconditions

Preconditions are requirements that must be met in order for an accrediting association or licensing agency to consider accrediting a program sponsor or approving its programs or schools. Some preconditions are based on state laws, while other preconditions are established by Commission policy.

There are essentially two kinds of preconditions. The first are the Commission's 10 General Institutional Preconditions. These apply to all professional preparation programs—teacher and services credential preparation programs. These preconditions do not apply to subject matter programs.

The second type of preconditions are those that apply to particular kinds of credential preparation programs. There are 4 Program Specific preconditions that apply to all types of educator preparation programs. In addition, there are preconditions for many types of educator preparation programs. All program sponsors must respond to each of the applicable preconditions.

Click to the following link to locate the Preconditions. <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/standards/Standards-Preconditions.pdf>.

*The required Preconditions for the **General Education Induction Program** are: General Preconditions 1-10; Program Specific Preconditions 1-4 and 5-9.*

Common Standards

The Common Standards address issues of institutional infrastructure, stability and processes that are designed to ensure that the implementation of all approved programs is successful and meets all standards. Consequently, there is a single response to the nine Common Standards that reflects the institution's support of each of its educator preparation programs. Institutions are required to submit information related to the Common Standards to the Commission at two points in the accreditation system: 1) during year 5 of the accreditation cycle- the year before the accreditation site visit; and 2) upon submitting a new program proposal.

The institution must develop one response to the Common Standards that reflects institutional support for all approved educator preparation programs. In other words, individual programs do not respond to the Common Standards. The Common Standards document is inclusive of the entire unit consequently only one Common Standards document will be maintained at the CTC for each approved institution/program sponsor regardless of how many approved programs are offered. Click on the following link to locate the Common Standards <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/STDS-common.html>.

If the institution's Common Standards are up to date and the institution submits a new program proposal, the institution must complete an addendum to the Common Standards that assures the Commission that the institution will support the proposed program in the same way it has supported other educator preparation programs. Click on the following link to locate the Common Standards Addendum <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/program-standards.html>.

The Common Standards Glossary should be consulted for definitions of any of the terms found in *italics* in the Common Standards.

Program Standards

Category A: Programs Exhibit Effective Design Principles

Program Standard 1: Program Rationale and Design

The induction program incorporates a purposeful, logically sequenced structure of extended preparation and professional development that prepares participating teachers to meet the academic learning needs of all P-12 students and retain high quality teachers. The design is responsive to individual teacher's needs, and is consistent with Education Code. It is relevant to the contemporary conditions of teaching and learning and provides for coordination of the administrative components of the program such as admission, advisement, participant support and assessment, support provider preparation, and program evaluation.

The program design provides systematic opportunities for the application and demonstration of the pedagogical knowledge and skills acquired in the preliminary credential program. The program design includes intensive individualized support and assistance to each participant, collaborative experiences with colleagues and resource personnel, and an inquiry-based formative assessment system that is built upon the *California Standards for the Teaching Profession*. The induction program collaborates with P-12 organizations to integrate induction program activities with district and partner organizations' professional development efforts.

Program Standard 2: Communication and Collaboration

The induction program articulates with preliminary teacher preparation programs and P-12 organizations in order to facilitate the transition from teacher preparation to induction and build upon and provide opportunities for demonstration and application of the pedagogical knowledge and skills acquired in the preliminary credential program.

The induction program collaborates regularly with partner school district personnel. These may include: human resource professionals for identification, eligibility, requirements for participation, and completion; educational services personnel regarding curricular and instructional priorities; and site administrators for site support of the candidate and the program.

Collaboration between the induction program and administrators establishes a professional, educational community, ensuring structures that support the activities of induction and coordinating additional site/district professional development opportunities. Programs offer professional development for site administrators that emphasizes the importance of new teacher development, identifies working conditions that optimizes participating teachers' success and implementing effective steps to ameliorate or overcome challenging aspects of teachers' work environments, and the foundations and processes of induction, in order to effectively transition the new teacher from induction to the role of professional educator.

Program Standard 3: Support Providers and Professional Development Providers

The induction program selects, prepares, and assigns support providers and professional development providers using well-defined criteria consistent with the provider's assigned responsibilities in the program.

Consistent with assigned responsibilities, program providers receive initial and ongoing professional development to ensure that they are knowledgeable about the program and skilled in their roles. Support provider training includes the development of knowledge and skills of mentoring, the *California Standards for the Teaching Profession, Effective Teaching Standards* (Category B of the Induction Program Standards), as well as the appropriate use of the instruments and processes of formative assessment systems.

The program has defined criteria for assigning support providers to participating teachers in a timely manner. Clear procedures are established for reassignments when either the participating teacher or support provider is dissatisfied with the pairing.

The program regularly assesses the quality of services provided by support providers to participating teachers and evaluates the performance of professional development providers using well-established criteria. The program leader(s) provides formative feedback to support providers and professional development providers on their work, retaining only those who meet the established criteria.

Program Standard 4: Formative Assessment System

The induction program utilizes a formative assessment system to support and inform participating teachers about their professional growth as they reflect and improve upon their teaching as part of a continuous improvement cycle. Formative assessment guides the work of support providers and professional development providers as well as promotes and develops professional norms of inquiry, collaboration, data-driven dialogue, and reflection to improve student learning.

The program's inquiry-based formative assessment system, characterized by a plan, teach, reflect and apply cycle, has three essential components: standards, evidence of practice, and criteria. The formative assessment processes, designed to improve teaching practice, are based on *The California Standards for the Teaching Profession* (CSTP) and in alignment with the P-12 academic content standards. Evidence of practice includes multiple measures such as self-assessment, observation, analyzing student work, and planning and delivering instruction. An assessment tool identifying multiple levels of teaching performance is used as a measure of teaching practice. Reflection on evidence of practice is a collaborative process with a prepared support provider and/or other colleagues as designated by the induction program.

Participating teachers and support providers collaborate to develop professional goals (an Individual Induction Plan) based on the teacher's assignment, identified developmental needs, prior preparation and experiences, including the Teaching Performance Assessment (TPA) results, when possible. The Individual Induction Plan (IIP) guides the activities to support growth and improvement of professional practice in at least one content area of focus. The Individual Induction Plan (IIP) is a working document, and is periodically revisited for reflection and updating.

Category B: Programs Provide Opportunities for Participants to Demonstrate Effective Teaching

Program Standard 5: Pedagogy

Participating teachers grow and improve in their ability to reflect upon and apply the *California Standards for the Teaching Profession* and the specific pedagogical skills for subject matter instruction beyond what was demonstrated for the preliminary credential. They utilize the adopted academic content standards and performance levels for students, curriculum frameworks, and instructional materials in the context of their teaching assignment.

Participating teachers use and interpret student assessment data from multiple measures for entry level, progress monitoring, and summative assessments of student academic performance to inform instruction. They plan and differentiate instruction using multi-tiered interventions as appropriate based on the assessed individual, academic language and literacy, and diverse learning needs of the full range of learners (e.g. struggling readers, students with special needs, English learners, speakers of non-dominant English, and advanced learners).

To maximize learning, participating teachers create and maintain well-managed classrooms that foster students' physical, cognitive, emotional and social well-being. They develop safe, inclusive, and healthy learning environments that promote respect, value differences, and mediate conflicts according to state laws and local protocol.

Participating teachers are fluent, critical users of technological resources and use available technology to assess, plan, and deliver instruction so all students can learn. Participating teachers enable students to use technology to advance their learning. Local district technology policies are followed by participating teachers when implementing strategies to maximize student learning and awareness around privacy, security, and safety.

Program Standard 6: Universal Access: Equity for all Students

Participating teachers protect and support all students by designing and implementing equitable and inclusive learning environments. They maximize academic achievement for students from all ethnic, racial, socio-economic, cultural, academic, and linguistic or family background; gender, gender identity, and sexual orientation; students with disabilities and advanced learners; and students with a combination of special instructional needs.

When planning and delivering instruction, participating teachers examine and strive to minimize bias in classrooms, schools and larger educational systems while using culturally responsive pedagogical practices.

Participating teachers use a variety of resources (including technology-related tools, interpreters, etc.) to collaborate and communicate with students, colleagues, resource personnel and families to provide the full range of learners equitable access to the state-adopted academic content standards.

a) Teaching English Learners

To ensure academic achievement and language proficiency for English learners, participating teachers adhere to legal and ethical obligations for teaching English Learners including the

identification, reclassification and monitoring processes. Participating teachers implement district policies regarding primary language support services for students. Participating teachers plan instruction for English Learners based on the students' levels of proficiency and literacy in English and primary language as assessed by multiple measures such as state language proficiency assessments, state standards assessment and local assessments.

Based on teaching assignment and the adopted language program instructional model(s), participating teachers implement one or more of the components of English Language Development (ELD): grade-level academic language instruction, ELD by proficiency level, and/or content-based ELD.

Participating teachers demonstrate effective strategies that support student learning and lead to mastery of academic content standards and objectives. Participating teachers also develop language objectives to address language and literacy demands inherent in content area instruction (e.g., linguistic demands, language function and form, audience and purpose, academic vocabulary, comprehension of multiple oral and written genres).

Participating teachers demonstrate skills for managing and organizing a classroom with first- and second-language learners.

Participating teachers plan instruction that demonstrate their understanding of the importance of students' family and cultural backgrounds, and experiences.

Participating teachers communicate effectively with parents and families, taking into account the linguistic and cultural backgrounds of students and their families.

Participating teachers instruct English learners using adopted standards-aligned instructional materials. Participating teachers differentiate instruction based upon their students' primary language and proficiency levels in English considering the students' culture, level of acculturation, and prior schooling.

b) Teaching Special Populations

To ensure academic achievement for special populations, participating teachers adhere to their legal and ethical obligations relative to the full range of special populations (students identified for special education, students with disabilities, advanced learners and students with a combination of special instructional needs) including the identification and referral process of students for special services. Participating teachers appropriately identify factors that could affect the determination of an English Learner's language/learning disability. Participating teachers implement district policies regarding support services for special populations. Participating teachers communicate and collaborate with special services personnel to ensure that instruction and support services for special populations are provided according to the students' assessed levels of academic, behavioral and social needs.

Based on assessed student needs, participating teachers provide differentiated instruction including accommodations and modifications based on assessed student needs. Participating teachers recognize student strengths and needs, use positive behavioral support strategies, and employ a strengths-based approach to meet the needs of all students, including the full range of special populations.

Participating teachers instruct special populations using adopted standards-aligned instructional materials and resources (e.g., varying curriculum depth and complexity, managing paraeducators, using assistive and other technologies).