Scoring Rubric for the Assessing Learning Task

Score Level 4. The response provides evidence that clearly, consistently, and convincingly demonstrates the teacher candidate’s ability to select a developmentally-appropriate assessment, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students, or state-adopted framework, to measure student learning; plan its implementation; learn about students and make adaptations to the plan based on that information to meet student needs; analyze student evidence and the assessment; and reflect on the assessment experience. The preponderance of evidence provided for each of the following domains is appropriate, relevant, accurate, and clear or detailed. Evidence is purposefully connected and reinforced across the response.

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for Students

The candidate learns about her or his students and uses this information to plan assessment, as evidenced by:

- establishing clear and appropriate goals for student learning, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- obtaining detailed and relevant information about selected students such as linguistic background; academic language abilities; content knowledge and skills; physical, social, and emotional development; cultural and health considerations; and interests
- planning a relevant and appropriate assessment in relation to the content area and subject matter to be taught and in accordance with state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- appropriately adapting the selected assessment to assist students to achieve learning goals and meet all students’ needs

Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning

The candidate uses and adapts strategies for assessment, as evidenced by:

- using relevant and developmentally-appropriate assessment practices
- making relevant and appropriate plans for students who have special needs or abilities
- drawing upon detailed and relevant information about students’ backgrounds and prior learning, including students’ assessed levels of literacy in English and their first language, as well as their proficiency in English, for planning assessment, and allowing students to express meaning, including in their first language
- knowing and applying relevant and appropriate instructional practices for English Language Development

Assessing Student Learning

The candidate uses assessment to obtain information about student learning and to plan further instruction, as evidenced by:

- using assessment results accurately and appropriately to determine student progress and to plan instruction
- providing detailed and accurate feedback, to students and/or to their families about student academic strengths and areas for growth in relation to the learning goals

Developing as a Professional Educator

The candidate reflects on the assessment experience and student learning, as evidenced by:

- providing detailed and relevant reflection and feedback on the results of the assessment and adaptations made in order to improve assessment skills and teaching effectiveness
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Score Level 3. The response provides evidence that clearly demonstrates the teacher candidate’s ability to select a developmentally appropriate assessment, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students, or state-adopted framework, to measure student learning; plan its implementation; learn about students and make adaptations to the plan based on that information to meet student needs; analyze student evidence and the assessment; and reflect on the assessment experience. The preponderance of evidence provided for each of the following domains is appropriate, relevant, or accurate. Evidence is connected across the response.

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for Students TPE 8, 9
The candidate learns about her or his students and uses this information to plan assessment, as evidenced by:
- establishing appropriate goals for student learning, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- obtaining relevant information about selected students such as linguistic background; academic language abilities; content knowledge and skills; physical, social, and emotional development; cultural and health considerations; and interests
- planning an appropriate assessment in relation to the content area and subject matter to be taught and in accordance with state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- appropriately adapting the selected assessment to assist students to achieve learning goals and meet students’ needs

Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning TPE 6, 7
The candidate uses and adapts strategies for assessment, as evidenced by:
- using developmentally-appropriate assessment practices
- making appropriate plans for students who have special needs or abilities
- drawing upon relevant information about students’ backgrounds and prior learning, including students’ assessed levels of literacy in English and their first language, as well as their proficiency in English, for planning assessment, and allowing students to express meaning, including in their first language
- knowing and applying appropriate instructional practices for English Language Development

Assessing Student Learning TPE 3
The candidate uses assessment to obtain information about student learning and to plan further instruction, as evidenced by:
- using assessment results appropriately to determine student progress and to plan instruction
- providing accurate feedback to students and/or to their families about student academic strengths and areas for growth in relation to the learning goals

Developing as a Professional Educator TPE 13
The candidate reflects on the assessment experience and student learning, as evidenced by:
- providing relevant reflection and feedback on the results of the assessment and adaptations made in order to improve assessment skills and teaching effectiveness
Score Level 2. The response provides evidence that partially demonstrates the teacher candidate’s ability to select a developmentally-appropriate assessment, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students, or state-adopted framework, to measure student learning; plan its implementation; learn about students and make adaptations to the plan based on that information to meet student needs; analyze student evidence and the assessment; and reflect on the assessment experience. The preponderance of evidence provided for each of the following domains is minimal, limited, cursory, inconsistent, and/or ambiguous. Evidence is weakly connected across the response and may be inconsistent.

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for Students  
TPE 8, 9
The candidate minimally learns about her or his students and uses this information to plan assessment, as evidenced by:

• establishing some appropriate and some inappropriate goals for student learning, based vaguely on state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
• obtaining cursory information about selected students such as linguistic background; academic language abilities; content knowledge and skills; physical, social, and emotional development; cultural and health considerations; and interests
• planning assessment that is not clearly or coherently related to the content area and subject matter to be taught and/or is minimally in accordance with state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
• minimally adapting the selected assessment to assist students in achieving learning goals, or using an adaptation that inconsistently meets students’ needs

Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning  
TPE 6, 7
The candidate minimally uses and adapts strategies for assessment, as evidenced by:

• using ambiguous or inconsistent assessment practices
• making inconsistent or minimal plans for students who have special needs or abilities
• drawing upon minimal or cursory information about students’ backgrounds and prior learning, including students’ assessed levels of literacy in English and their first languages, as well as their proficiency in English, for planning assessment, and/or allowing students to express meaning, including in their first language
• a limited knowledge and/or ambiguous application of instructional practices for English Language Development

Assessing Student Learning  
TPE 3
The candidate minimally uses assessment to obtain information about student learning and to plan further instruction, as evidenced by:

• using assessment results sometimes appropriately and sometimes inappropriately to determine student progress and to plan instruction
• providing minimal or limited feedback, to students and/or to their families, about student academic strengths and areas for growth in relation to the learning goals

Developing as a Professional Educator  
TPE 13
The candidate minimally reflects on the assessment experience and student learning, as evidenced by:

• providing cursory or limited reflection and feedback on the results of the assessment and adaptations made in order to improve assessment skills and teaching effectiveness
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Score Level 1. The response provides evidence that does little or nothing to demonstrate the teacher candidate’s ability to select a developmentally-appropriate assessment, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students, or state-adopted framework, to measure student learning; plan its implementation; learn about students and make adaptations to the plan based on that information to meet student needs; analyze student evidence and the assessment; and reflect on the assessment experience. The preponderance of evidence provided for each of the following domains is inappropriate, irrelevant, inaccurate, or missing. Evidence is unconnected across the response.

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for Students  TPE 8, 9
The candidate insufficiently learns about her or his students and uses this information to plan assessment, as evidenced by:

- establishing inappropriate or no goals for student learning, based on state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- obtaining irrelevant or no information about selected students such as linguistic background; academic language abilities content knowledge and skills; physical, social, and emotional development; cultural and health considerations; and interests
- planning inappropriate or no assessment related to the content area and subject matter to be taught and/or assessment that is not in accordance with state-adopted academic content standards for students or state-adopted framework
- inappropriately adapting, or not adapting, the selected assessment to assist students to achieve learning goals and meet students’ needs

Engaging and Supporting Students in Learning  TPE 6,7
The candidate insufficiently uses and adapts strategies for assessment, as evidenced by:

- using developmentally-inappropriate or no assessment practices
- making inappropriate or no plans for students who have special needs or abilities
- drawing upon irrelevant or no information about students’ backgrounds and prior learning, including students’ assessed levels of literacy in English and their first language, as well as their proficiency in English, for planning assessment, and/or allowing students to express meaning, including in their first language
- knowing and applying inappropriate or no instructional practices for English Language Development

Assessing Student Learning  TPE 3
The candidate insufficiently uses assessment to obtain information about student learning and to plan further instruction, as evidenced by:

- using assessment results inappropriately or not at all to determine student progress and to plan instruction
- providing inaccurate or no feedback to students and/or to their families about student academic strengths and areas for growth in relation to the learning goals

Developing as a Professional Educator  TPE 13
The candidate insufficiently reflects on the assessment experience and student learning, as evidenced by:

- providing irrelevant or no reflection and feedback on the results of the assessment and adaptations made in order to improve assessment skills and teaching effectiveness