

**Child Development Permit Advisory Panel
Approved Minutes of the September 23, 2016 Meeting**

Advisory Panel Members Attending

Deborah Stipek, Chair
Jan DeLapp
Lucia Garay
Joel Gordon
Guillermina Hernandez
Nancy Hurlbut
Mary Jane Maguire-Fong
Carola Matera
Elaine Merriweather
Kim Norman
Erin Rosselli
Pedro Sousa
Sherri Springer
Kisha Williamson
Julianne Zvalo-Martyn

Item I: Call to Order

Chair Dr. Deborah Stipek opened the September 23 meeting of the Child Development Permit Advisory Panel (CDP AP) at 10:15 a.m. She welcomed the advisory panel and joined Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Commission) Executive Director Mary Vixie Sandy in providing an overview of the meeting objectives.

Item II: Approval of the Minutes of the May 2016 Meeting

Advisory Panel members approved the May 2016 meeting minutes, as amended.

Item III: Overview and Discussion of Previous Meeting and Related Interim Activities

Executive Director Sandy brought the panel members up to date on the work of the TWB8 California stakeholder panel related to the national report: "Transitioning the Workforce for Children Birth Through Age 8: A Unifying Foundation" and provided an overview of the June 2016 presentation of the CDP AP's recommendations to the Commission. Meeting facilitator Jannelle Kubinec of WestEd then guided the advisory panel through a review of its previous decision points related to the Commission's Child Development Permit matrix and an overview of the remaining open issues related to the matrix.

Item IV: Further Discussion of the Child Development Permit Matrix

Building on the advisory panel's work from previous meetings, the panel worked to finalize its discussion around suggested changes and modifications to the current Child Development Permit Matrix with a particular focus on the Site Supervisor and Program Director levels of the permit.

Lunch

During a working lunch, the CDP AP continued to work on finalizing its recommendations related to the Child Development Permit matrix.

Item V: Supporting Quality Preparation

Executive Director Sandy guided the advisory panel in a discussion of alternatives and options to support quality preparation that supports recommendations of the advisory panel, including presenting different options for moving potential Early Childcare and Education program standards forward to implementation.

Item VI: Public Comment on Matters not Included on the Agenda

A representative for PEACH (Partners in Education, Articulation, and Coordination through Higher Education) shared that some preparation programs are already engaged in assessment of their Child Development and Early Childhood Education candidates and that data from these assessments could be shared. CDP AP Chair Stipek and Executive Director Sandy requested a presentation of these assessments and the data at a future CDP AP meeting.

Other PEACH and CCCECE (California Community College Early Childhood Educators) representatives spoke in favor of the advisory panel's work outcomes.

A representative from Child Development, Inc. – an organization that represents child care providers throughout California – shared concerns over the lack of wages for the CD/ECE workforce.

CDP AP Chair Stipek adjourned the meeting at 4:15 p.m.