Final Statement of Reasons

Problem Statement

There is no change to the public problem information since the original submission of the Initial Statement of Reasons.

Statement of Purpose

There is no change to the original purpose of the proposed action since the original submission of the Initial Statement of Reasons.

Updates to Initial Statement of Reasons

Following a review of the rulemaking file, the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) requested that some revisions be made to the regulation text to provide additional clarification. Following the request, the Commission voluntarily withdrew the file in June 2022 to make additional changes to the text and provide additional justification and any documents relied upon for the rulemaking file via a 15-day notice.

At the October 2022 meeting, the Commission adopted proposed revisions to the text. October Commission Agenda Item 3C (beginning on page 18) is included in the rulemaking file as a document relied upon and the October 2022 minutes have been included in the rulemaking file as proof of adoption. Following adoption by the Commission, a 15-day notice detailing the updates to the rulemaking file was posted on November 18, 2022. The public comment period ended on December 5, 2022. A copy of the 15-day notice is included in this rulemaking file. No public comments were received.

Summary of Proposed Regulation

The proposed amendments include the following:

80487(a)(4): The fee for the exam, as it is required by statute. Language has been added to clarify that the fee for the state basic skills proficiency test shall be set at \$30 per subtest.

800487(a)(5)-(a)(7): Corrections to numbering.

80071.4(f): Updates to the language to clarify that the only two testing options for CBEST are computer-based in a testing center and online-proctored where a proctor observes the test taker taking the CBEST online. The paper-based exam has been eliminated and this addition makes it clear to the public that there are two options for how and where to take the exam.

Consideration of Alternatives

Since the original rulemaking was initiated, no alternatives were proposed by any party or the Commission during the rulemaking proceeding; therefore, no alternative considered by the agency would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Proposed Amendments and Additions to Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations Pertaining to Examination Fees – Final Statement of Reasons Page 2

Mandated Costs

These proposed regulations will not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

Updated Tally of Responses

No comments to the public announcement were received during the 45-day comment period. No additional comments were received during the 15-day notice comment period.

Written Responses Representing Organizations in Support: None Written Responses Representing Individuals in Support: None Written Responses Representing Organizations in Opposition: None Written Responses Representing Individuals in Opposition: None Total: 0 responses in support Total: 0 responses in opposition Grand Total of Responses: 0