3F

Information

Educator Preparation Committee

Update on the Teacher Residency Grant Programs

Executive Summary: This agenda item presents an update on the Teacher Residency Grant Programs. WestEd is conducting an evaluation of the Teacher Residency programs and will provide an overview of their findings to date.

Recommended Action: For information only

Presenters: Kristina Najarro, Consultant, Professional Services Division and Melissa Eiler White, Project Director, WestEd

Strategic Plan Goal

II. Program Quality and Accountability

a) Develop and maintain rigorous, meaningful, and relevant standards that drive program quality and effectiveness for the preparation of the education workforce and are responsive to the needs of California's diverse student population.

Update on the Teacher Residency Grant Programs

Introduction

This agenda item presents an update on the Teacher Residency Grant Programs, one of four grant programs administered by the Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Commission) and provides both the second round of data collection required by the request for proposals (RFPs) and information from the WestEd evaluation for Year Two of the California Teacher Residency Grant Programs.

Background

The Teacher Residency Grant Programs—Capacity, Residency, and Expansion—were included in the 2018-19 state budget to support the development, implementation, and expansion of teacher residency programs. <u>Authorizing legislation</u> provided a total of \$75 million for competitive grants for local education agencies (LEAs) to work in partnership with institutions of higher education (IHEs) that offer Commission-approved teacher preparation programs to offer a teacher residency pathway to earn a teaching credential in special education, STEM, or bilingual education. The authorizing statute divided the \$75 million in the following way:

- \$50 million was allocated for the preparation of special education residents with grants up to \$20,000 per resident.
- \$25 million was allocated for the preparation of STEM and/or bilingual residents with grants up to \$20,000 per resident.
- \$1.5 million was allocated specifically for capacity grants up to \$50,000 per recipient.

Grant funding for all three program types is available for encumbrance through June 30, 2023.

In accordance with the provisions of the authorizing statute, the Teacher Residency Grant Programs:

- Address teacher shortages in special education, STEM, bilingual, and other shortage areas.
- Help to recruit and support the preparation of more individuals in the teaching profession.
- Promote and provide support for teacher residency program models.
- Support the induction of educators into the profession.

For the purposes of the Teacher Residency Grant Programs, a teacher residency program is defined in the authorizing legislation as a Local Education Agency (LEA)-based partnership between an LEA and an institution of higher education (IHE) that offers a Commission-approved teacher preparation program, and in which a prospective teacher teaches at least one-half time alongside a teacher of record, who is designated as the experienced mentor teacher, for at least one full school year while engaging in initial preparation coursework.

Request for Proposals (RFP) for all three Teacher Residency Grant Programs — Capacity, Residency, and Expansion— were released in the following order:

- Teacher Residency Capacity Grant Program RFP released August 2018 and proposals were due September 2018.
- Teacher Residency Expansion Grant Program RFP released September 2018 and proposals were due November 2018.
- Teacher Residency Grant Program RFP released October 2018 and proposals were due January 2019.

Twenty-three programs were awarded Teacher Residency Capacity Grants, five programs were awarded Teacher Residency Expansion Grants, and thirty-three programs were awarded Teacher Residency Grants. <u>Appendix A</u> includes the list of Capacity grantee LEAs, their partner IHE(s), as well as their planned residency focus area. <u>Appendix B</u> contains the list of Expansion and Residency Program LEA grant recipients, their IHE partner(s) with a Commission-approved preliminary teacher preparation program, and their residency credential areas.

This item has been separated into two sections. Section One presents Teacher Residency Capacity grantee data. Teacher Residency Capacity grant funds are designated for developing an LEA/IHE partnership and for planning a residency model, not implementing one. Section Two provides Teacher Residency Expansion and Teacher Residency grantee data which is reported together, as these are the two grant types that support residents through a teacher preparation pathway.

Section One - Teacher Residency Capacity Grant

The Teacher Residency Capacity Grant was made available to provide awards up to \$50,000 per recipient to support a collaborative partnership between the LEA and an IHE that offers a Commission-approved teacher preparation program for special education, STEM, and/or bilingual residents.

Sample activities eligible for funding through this grant include:

- Planning and development of a new, collaborative LEA-IHE teacher residency program.
- Planning to expand an existing LEA-IHE teacher residency program.
- Developing the LEA's capacity to effectively offer a teacher residency program.
- Developing the IHE's capacity to support a cohort model for teacher residents.
- Developing the collaborative LEA-IHE partnership capacity to apply for future grant funding to operate the intended teacher residency program.

Data Collection

To analyze the impact of this state-funded grant program, Commission staff requested data as outlined in the RFP. The tables below present data from Teacher Residency Capacity Grant Programs submitted by June 30, 2021. Table 1 shows that the 23 LEA grantees have been funded to collectively develop their partnership capacity with IHEs to design and be prepared to implement 51 residency programs. Table 2 indicates the number of grantees that have completed all planning activities outlined in their proposals to the Commission. Table 3 shows the categories in which Capacity grantees have allocated funding.

Residency Area	Programs Developing this Type of Pathway (n = 51)	Percent of total Residencies Being Planned
Special Education	20	40%
Special Education with Bilingual Authorization	4	8%
STEM	10	20%
STEM with Bilingual Authorization	5	9%
Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization	7	14%
Single Subject (non-STEM) with Bilingual Authorization	5	9%

Table 1: Teacher Residency Capacity Grant – Pathways Being Planned

Table 2: Teacher Residency Capacity Grant – Planning Activities Completed

Report Question: Has the LEA-IHE Partnership Completed All Activities Outlined in the Proposal?	Number of Grantees Selecting this Option (n = 23)	Percent of total Residencies Being Planned
Yes	10	43%
No	13	54%

Table 3: Teacher Residency Capacity Grant – Grant and Matching Funds Spent

Type of Funding	Grant Funds Spent (\$1,050,010 awarded)	Matching Funds Spent	Total
LEA Personnel (Salaries)	\$104,198.00	\$298,766.90	\$402,964.90
LEA Personnel (Stipends)	\$10,782.00	\$5,500.00	\$16,282.00
LEA Personnel (Release Time)	\$21,113.11	\$14,651.00	\$35,764.11
IHE Personnel (Salaries)	\$104,175	\$183,347.40	\$287,522.40
IHE Personnel (Stipends)	\$25,627.98	\$2,250.00	\$27,877.98
IHE Personnel (Release Time)	\$14,493.64	\$17,135.00	\$31,628.64
Mentor Teacher Professional Development/Training	\$43,772.02	\$10,500.00	\$54,272.02
Mentor Teacher Stipends	\$50,527.37	\$7,889.37	\$58,416.74
Mentor Teacher Release Time	\$1,750	\$7,189.83	\$8,939.83
Travel for LEA Personnel	\$6,423.83	\$2,508.87	\$8,932.70
Travel for IHE Personnel	\$2,488.00	\$369.00	\$2,857.00
Program Administration	\$24,156.00	\$22,907.28	\$47,063.28
Other	\$61,865.85	\$95,581.40	\$157,447.25
Totals	\$471,372.80	\$668,596.05	\$1,139,968.85

Section Two - Teacher Residency Expansion and Teacher Residency Grants

The remainder of this agenda item summarizes the partnerships Commission staff have with external organizations to support grant recipients and presents the data collected from Expansion and Residency grantees as of June 30, 2021.

Teacher Residency Lab and WestEd Evaluation

In response to the award of state-funded grants to Teacher Residency Grant Programs, a group of philanthropic and advocacy organizations created the <u>California Teacher Residency Lab (The Lab)</u> to provide a system of support to accelerate the progress of teacher residencies. The <u>Californians Dedicated to Education (CDE) Foundation</u> coordinates The Lab to ensure a common vision of high-quality research-based professional development that will result in effective teacher residencies.

The Lab has formed an Advisory Committee that includes technical assistance providers, funders, advocacy organizations, the Lab convener, strategic advisors, and WestEd. Together, these organizations created The Lab, whose mission is to "Strengthen California's capacity to provide equity-driven, clinically rich, teacher preparation and support" and reach the vision of "California's powerfully prepared, diverse, and thriving teacher workforce advances educational equity and justice, providing the learning supports that ensure every student reaches their full potential." In September 2020, the CDE Foundation published a <u>Statement of Strategic</u> <u>Direction for the California Teacher Residency Lab</u>.

Over the last two years, Commission staff worked closely with leaders of The Lab and with WestEd to convene residency grant recipients to develop a community of practice to support and strengthen their residency development efforts. Funded by the Gates Foundation, WestEd is conducting a formative evaluation of the California Teacher Residency Grant Program. Through surveys, interviews, and focus groups with grantees, WestEd has examined how grantees are progressing towards the grant's overarching goal of preparing diverse, well-prepared STEM, bilingual, and special education teachers who are hired and retained in high-need schools. A report of learnings from the grant's first year of implementation, academic year 2019-20, is titled Launching the California Teacher Residency Grant Program: Findings from Year 1 (2019/20), and the most recent report is titled Teacher Residency Program in California: Financial Sustainability Challenges and Opportunities.

Data Collection

To analyze the impact of this state-funded grant program, Commission staff requested data as outlined in the RFP in the areas noted below. The 2019-20 school year represented Year One of the grant programs, and initial data was reported to the Commission in <u>December 2020</u>. As the programs have now completed their second year of implementation, data is now available for all but one of the data elements requested by the RFP:

- The number of residents enrolled to be trained in each of the following areas: special education, STEM subjects, and bilingual education.
- The range of total financial support provided to residents, such as stipends or tuition support.
- The average per-resident costs of the program, including matching funds provided by

the grantee and sources of these funds.

- Information regarding the effectiveness of the Teacher Residency Grant Program in recruiting, developing support systems for, and retaining special education, bilingual education, and STEM teachers.
- The percentage of program participants who complete the residency program and earn a Preliminary teaching credential.
- The extent to which program graduates are teaching in high-need subjects and locations.
- The number and percentage of program graduates who teach in special education, bilingual education, and STEM subjects within the grant recipient.
- The number and percentage of program graduates who teach in hard to staff schools, as determined by the grant recipient.
- The number and percentage of program graduates who teach in a school where 50 percent or more of the enrolled pupils are eligible for free or reduced-price meals.
- The extent to which program graduates increase the diversity of the grant recipient's workforce, including the number and percentage of program graduates who are members of underrepresented groups.
- Teacher retention rates for program graduates within the grant recipient (data included in this item for the first year of employment and will continue to be gathered in subsequent years).
- Residency program graduate achievement on the Teaching Performance Assessment (TPA).
- The percentage of program participants who complete induction and earn a Clear teaching credential (this data will be available in subsequent years once residents complete their two-year induction programs).

WestEd collected the following additional data and will present their findings during the February 2022 Commission meeting:

- Results from candidate and program graduate surveys of the quality of preparation they received.
- Best practices found to be effective in implementing the program.
- Factors promoting or hindering program implementation.
- Lessons learned to inform future investments in this type of program.

The results of data collected are described in the remainder of this item. As a reminder, the data represented is a combination of the Expansion and Residency grantee data as reported by grantee LEAs.

Teacher Residency Programs Enrollment

It is important to note that not all teacher preparation programs begin in the fall and end in the spring, including Teacher Residency Programs. As a result, Teacher Residency Programs reported the following information regarding program start dates:

- Twenty-seven (27) grantees began a Teacher Residency Program in fall 2019;
- One (1) program began in January 2020;

- Three (3) programs began in May/June 2020;
- Six (6) grantees enrolled their first cohort in fall 2020; and
- One (1) grantee did not determine a start date and has since elected not to implement a Teacher Residency Program.

The following tables reflect the number of teacher residents enrolled at any time in the 2020-21 academic year, July through June.

Number of Teacher Residents Enrolled by Credential Area and Clinical Placement

For Year Two of the Teacher Residency Grant Programs, LEAs reported a total of 359 teacher residents between July 2020 and June 2021. Table 4 indicates the number and percent of teacher residents by credential area and Table 5 shows the clinical placement.

Table 4: Teacher Residents Enrolled in Special Education, STEM, and Bilingual Programs

Teacher Residency Programs Credential Areas	Program Year 2 (n = 359)	Percent
Special Education	149	41%
Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization	74	21%
STEM	124	34%
STEM with Bilingual Authorization	6	2%
Single Subject non-STEM with Bilingual Authorization	6	2%

Table 5: Clinical Placement of Enrolled Teacher Residents

Teacher Residents Clinical Placement	Program Year 2 (n = 359)	Percent
Grades TK-2 Bilingual	40	11%
Grades 3-5 Bilingual	25	7%
Grades 6-8 Bilingual	3	1%
Subject Specific Bilingual	11	3%
Math	58	16%
Science	50	14%
Special Education, Elementary	58	16%
Special Education, Secondary	47	13%
Special Education, Early Childhood	3	1%
Not reported	64	18%

Number of Teacher Residents Enrolled by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

For the Year Two reporting cycle, LEA grantees reported the number of teacher residents by self-identified race, ethnicity, and gender. Tables 6 and 7 provide this information.

Teacher Residents Race/Ethnicity	Program Year 2 (n = 359)	Percent
Asian	45	13%
Black/African American	16	4%
Hispanic/Latinx	164	46%
White	104	29%
Two or more races	7	2%
Decline to state	16	4%
Not Reported	7	2%

Table 6: Race/Ethnicity of Enrolled Teacher Residents

Table 7: Gender of Enrolled Teacher Residents

Teacher Residents Gender	Program Year 2 (n = 359)	Percent
Female	261	73%
Male	86	24%
Non-binary	3	1%
Decline to state	8	2%
Not Reported	1	< 1%

Teacher Residency Programs Completers

In addition to providing information about candidates enrolled in the Teacher Residency Programs, LEA grantees were required to provide data regarding the successful completion of the preparation program. Tables 8 and 9 provide data regarding the number of completers from programs that had a fall 2020 start date and reasons for residents who did not complete the program.

For review of this data, it is important to note that of the 33 Teacher Residency Programs with a fall start, 16 programs enrolled teacher residents pursuing an Education Specialist credential, and many Education Specialist preparation programs are longer than one academic year. Thus, these teacher residents will not be noted as completers until Year Three data is collected. Additionally, it is important to remember that the COVID-19 pandemic had an effect on residency programs' ability to assign field work placements at the beginning of the 2020-21 school year and has also impacted completion rates in educator preparation programs, including Teacher Residency Programs.

Teacher Residency Program Completers	Program Year 2 (n = 263)	Percent
Residents Enrolled in Fall 2020	263	100%
Fall 2020 Enrollees Completed (Recommended for a preliminary teaching credential)	162	62%
Fall 2020 Enrollees Not Completed (Not recommended for a preliminary teaching credential)	101	38%

Table 8: Teacher Residency Program Completers

Table 9: Teacher Residency Program, Reasons for Not Completing

Teacher Residents Gender	Program Year 2 (n = 101)	Percent
Education Specialist Program (18 month) or otherwise still enrolled in the teacher preparation program	16	16%
Program Sponsored Variable Term Waiver (PS VTW)	13	13%
Exited Program	3	3%
Did Not Pass Required Exam (non-COVID related)	17	17%
Some (or all of the above)	9	9%
Other	27	26%
Unreported	16	16%

Teacher Residency Completers Ethnic and Racial Diversity Compared to LEA and State Data

LEA grantees reported ethnic and racial diversity of completers, and WestEd compared this information to two sets of data: (1) the race/ethnicity of PK-12 teachers in the grantee LEA and statewide, and (2) the race/ethnicity of the PK-12 students in the grantee LEA and statewide. Tables 10 and 11 present these data.

Table 10: Race/Ethnicity of Teacher Residency Program (TR) Year 2 Completers Comparison to
PK-12 Teachers in Grantee LEA and Statewide Data

Race/Ethnicity	TR Completers	Teachers in TR LEAs	Teachers Statewide
Asian	14%	8%	6%
Black/African American	2%	7%	4%
Hispanic/Latinx	46%	31%	21%
Two or more races	2%	1%	1%
White	30%	45%	61%
Decline to state	7%	4%	5%

Race/Ethnicity	TR Completers	Students in TR LEAs	Students Statewide
Asian	14%	8%	10%
Black/African American	2%	8%	5%
Hispanic/Latinx	46%	65%	55%
Two or more races	2%	3%	4%
White	30%	12%	22%
Decline to state	7%	1%	1%

 Table 11: Race/Ethnicity of Teacher Residency Program (TR) Year 2 Completers Comparison to

 PK-12 Students in Grantee LEA and Statewide Data

Teacher Residency and Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA)

Additional data required of the LEA grantees as outlined in the RFP include the results of Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) pass rates for the 359 teacher residents enrolled in the 2020-21 academic year. Tables 12-14 provide this information.

For review of this data, it is important to note that teacher residents in Education Specialist credential programs are not currently required to take and pass a TPA. For purposes of this report, only Multiple Subject and Single Subject Bilingual and STEM teacher residents were required to take and pass the TPA as part of the credential requirement.

 Table 12: Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) Pass Rates – CalTPA (n = 55)

CalTPA # of Attempts Made	Passed	Postponed due to COVID	Not Passed
0	NA	14	9*
1	19	7	1
2	0	0	1
Number of attempts not reported	2	0	2
Totals	21	21	13

*Grantees reporting a figure in the not passed column when a TPA was not attempted indicates that residents did not attempt the TPA for various reasons including length of program, unforeseen extension of program, etc.

Table 13: Teacher Performance Assessment	(TPA) Pass Rates – edTPA (n = 116)
---	------------------------------------

edTPA # of Attempts Made	Passed	Postponed due to COVID	Not Passed
0	NA	15	11*
1	39	1	9
2	4	0	0
Number of attempts not reported	11	16	10
Totals	54	32	30

*Grantees reporting a figure in the not passed column when a TPA was not attempted indicates that residents did not attempt the TPA for various reasons including length of program, unforeseen extension of program, etc.

Table 14 indicates the number of Year 2 teacher residents enrolled in the Teacher Residency programs that require passage of the Fresno Assessment for Student Teacher (FAST) as well as those earning a credential for which a TPA is not required and the number of teacher residents for which TPA data was not reported. In reviewing these data, it is important to note that the two teacher residency programs that require participants to pass FAST are dual credential programs in which the Year 2 teacher residents have not yet reached the point in their enrollment to attempt the FAST.

Table 14: Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) Pass Rates – Fresno Assessment for Student Teachers (FAST), Not Applicable for this Credential Type, and Not Reported (n = 188)

ТРА Туре	Frequency of Response
Year 2 residents that	
will attempt FAST	33
beyond July 2021	
TPA not required for	
credential type being	101
earned by the	101
teacher resident	
TPA type not	54
reported	54

Teacher Residency Program Costs

The next set of the data required of the LEA grantees includes the range of total financial support provided to teacher residents, such as stipends or tuition support, and the average perresident costs of the program including matching funds provided by the grantee and the sources of these funds.

Table 15 shows the types of financial support that the Teacher Residency Programs provided to residents and the overall costs of those supports. It is important to remember that the costs in Table 15 reflect grant and matching funds expended for residents who entered the program at any point in the 2020-21 academic year. Thus, not all spending on residents spanned an entire year. Additionally, not all spending was proposed for only Year Two; for example, many LEAs proposed matching funds in subsequent years for such supports as induction and signing bonuses, which is the reason that matching funds do not yet equal grant fund expenditures. Over \$8.5 million dollars of grant and matching funds were expended in Year Two of the Teacher Residency Grant Programs.

Type of Support	Grant Funds	Matching Funds	Total
Teacher Preparation Costs (tuition, fees, etc.)	\$623,648	\$933,577	\$1,557,225
Salary/Stipends for Residents	\$2,816,728	\$966,018	\$3,782,746
TPA and/or Other Exam Fees	\$9,394	\$11,044	\$20,438
Other (signing bonuses, etc.)	\$6,288	\$116,564	\$122,852
Master/Mentor Teacher Professional Development/Training	\$284,174	\$147,220	\$431,394
Master/Mentor Support/Stipend/Release Time	\$460,214	\$211,417	\$671,631
Faculty Stipends/Release Time	\$60,381	\$210,431	\$270,812
Induction Support for Residents Who Complete the Program	\$11,314	\$420,604	\$431,918
Program Administration	\$259,699	\$1,147,416	\$1,407,115
Totals	\$4,531,840	\$4,164,291	\$8,696,131

 Table 15: Teacher Residency Program: Year 2 Grant and Matching Funds Ranges of Financial

 Support

Teacher Residency Year One Cohort Hiring Data

The last of the 2020-21 data collected from the LEA grantees details the hiring data for Year One residents who completed a program during the 2019-20 academic year. Authorizing statute requires residents to serve in their residency LEA for four years after successfully completing their preparation program and earning a preliminary credential. Residents have five years to fulfill this four-year service commitment.

Table 16 shows the number of Year One residents who were hired as teachers of record at the time these data were collected in July 2021. It is important to note that many LEAs do not complete their hiring until late summer or have unexpected vacancies mid-year. Any updated Year One cohort employment data will be presented to the Commission in future reports from staff.

Hiring Status of Teacher Residency Program Completers	Program Year 1 (n = 323)	Percent
Hired	288	89%
Not Hired	31	10%
Not Reported	4	1%
Totals	323	100%

Table 16: Employment Status of Year One Cohort Residents

The next three tables provide additional information about resident placements. Table 17 indicates whether a resident was hired by the LEA in which they completed their residency program or if they are fulfilling their service commitment in another California LEA. Tables 18

and 19 show the number of residents hired in hard to staff schools and in schools where 50% or more of pupils are eligible for free or reduced-price meals.

In reading these tables, it is important to note that candidates assisted by Teacher Residency grant funds have to fulfill a four-year teaching commitment in order to avoid having to repay all or a proportional part of the grant funds received by the candidate. However, it may happen that the candidate will need, for a variety of reasons and/or personal circumstances, to finish that four-year teaching commitment in a different California LEA than the grantee whose teacher residency program the candidate completed. In keeping with the intent of authorizing legislation to address California's teacher shortage, if an LEA does not have a teaching position available for a teacher resident who participated in the LEA's teacher residency program, the candidate may begin and/or complete the four-year teaching requirement in another California public school district, county office of education, or charter school. It is the responsibility of the LEA grantee to keep track of the employment of teacher residents whether employed in the grantee's LEA or another California LEA and report such employment to the Commission in the required yearly reports.

Hiring Status of Teacher Residency Program Completers	Program Year 1 (n = 288)	Percent
Hired in Grantee LEA at the Same School as Residency Placement	62	22%
Hired in Grantee LEA but at a Different School than Residency Placement	182	63%
Hired in a Different California LEA	37	13%
Not Reported	7	2%
Totals	288	100%

Table 17: Employment Status of Year One Cohort Residents – Same LEA as Residency Program

Table 18: Employment Status of Year One Cohort Residents – Hard to Staff School

Hiring Status of Teacher Residency Program Completers	Program Year 1 (n = 288)	Percent
Hired in Grantee LEA at a Hard to Staff School	195	68%
Hired in a Different California LEA at a Hard to Staff School	13	4%
Not Reported	80*	28%
Totals	288	100%

*A significant portion of the data that was not reported is associated with teachers who have been hired outside of the grantee LEA; it has been challenging for programs to obtain this information from former residents. Table 19: Employment Status of Year One Cohort Residents – 50% of Pupils Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals (FRM)

Hiring Status of Teacher Residency Program Completers	Program Year 1 (n = 288)	Percent
Hired in Grantee LEA at a School with 50% FRM	198	69%
Hired in a Different California LEA at a School with 50% FRM	20	7%
Not Reported	70*	24%
Totals	288	100%

*A significant portion of the data that was not reported is associated with teachers who have been hired outside of the grantee LEA; it has been challenging for programs to obtain this information from former residents.

WestEd Evaluation Findings

WestEd conducted a formative evaluation of the California Teacher Residency Grant Program during Year One and has continued the evaluation in Year Two. The evaluation is designed to understand how grantees are progressing toward the grant's overarching goal of preparing diverse, well-prepared STEM, bilingual, and special education teachers who take jobs in and are retained in high-need schools.

Findings from the second year of the Teacher Residency grant programs – during a global pandemic – will be presented to the Commission during the February 2022 meeting. This report will highlight 1) enrollment, completion and hiring findings from an analysis of data collected about the first two cohorts of residents and 2) share findings and recommendations from a newly released brief: <u>Teacher Residency Programs in California: Financial Sustainability</u> <u>Challenges and Opportunities</u>, including the following:

- 1. Grantee programs have made progress toward key outcomes under challenging conditions including:
 - a) Residencies are supporting the development of a more diverse teaching workforce. Compared to the teachers in the LEAs' existing workforce, residents better reflect the racial and ethnic diversity of the students they serve.
 - b) Residents intend to teach in their residency LEAs. Over 90 percent of residents indicated that they were extremely likely or likely to take a job in their placement LEA after completing their program.
 - c) Residents, mentor teachers, and partnership team members value their residency programs. Over 90 percent of residents, mentor teachers, and partnership team members would recommend their residency program to someone who wants to become a teacher.
 - d) Residents and mentor teachers highlight the importance of residencies' rich yearlong clinical experience. This theme remained consistent despite the challenges of abruptly shifting to virtual learning during the 2020/21 school year.

- 2. Planning for future financial sustainability is essential to ensuring the success of the state investment. Key challenges have surfaced in data collected in Year 2:
 - a) Challenge 1: A majority of residents report experiencing financial hardships during their residency year, and residents of color are disproportionately impacted.
 - b) Challenge 2: Most residency programs are offering district-based employment opportunities to residents (such as having them serve as substitute teachers, paraprofessionals, or tutors), but fewer than half of residents are participating in these opportunities.
 - c) Challenge 3: Most programs are not yet broadening their funding sources and strategies to enable financial sustainability beyond the grant program.
 - d) Challenge 4: Many programs could strengthen their partnerships with partner LEAs and build their internal capacity to support sustainability.

Next Steps

Staff will continue to monitor and provide support to Teacher Residency Capacity grantees that have not yet completed the activities outlined in their proposals to the Commission. Grant funding remains available to them for encumbrance through June 30, 2023. Grant recipients that have completed all planning activities have been notified of resources available to support them in pursuing residency implementation grant funding. Staff will present an update on Capacity grant data with the next annual update on Teacher Residency Programs.

Staff will continue to provide technical assistance to 2018 Teacher Residency grantees, work as partners with The Lab and with WestEd, and provide regular updates to the Commission regarding this state-funded grant program. A final report is due from the Commission to the Department of Finance and the appropriate fiscal and policy committees of the Legislature by December 1, 2022.

Staff has developed a timeline for the release of Request for Applications (RFAs) for the new Teacher Residency Grant competitions authorized by AB 130. The 2021 legislation provides additional funding and some new provisions for all three existing grant types. An item with this information will be presented to the Commission at the February 2022 meeting.

EPC 3F-14

Appendix A

Teacher Residency Capacity Grant Recipients, IHE Partners, and Residency Focus Areas

LEA	IHE	Residency Focus
AchieveKids (NPS)	Pacific Oaks College	Special Education
Davis Joint USD	CSU Sacramento	Special Education
Fountain Valley SD	Chapman University	Special Education
	CSU Dominguez Hills, CSU Los	Special Education (including BILA
	Angeles, and CSU Northridge	and STEM (BILA)
	CSU Long Beach and University of	Special Education
	Southern California	
Los Angeles USD	UC Los Angeles	STEM and Multiple Subjects with
		BILA
		Special Education (including
	Loyola Marymount University	BILA) and Multiple Subjects with
		BILA
Magnolia SD	Chapman University	Special Education
		Special Ed; Special Ed Bilingual;
Moreno Valley USD	Brandman University	STEM; STEM Bilingual; Multiple
		Subject Bilingual; Single Subject
		Bilingual
		Special Ed; Special Ed Bilingual;
Napa Valley USD	Sonoma State University	STEM; STEM Bilingual; Multiple
		Subject Bilingual; Single Subject
		Bilingual
Patterson JUSD	CSU Stanislaus	STEM
Poway USD	Point Loma Nazarene University	Special Education
Santa Ana USD	UC Irvine	STEM
Stockton USD	University of the Pacific	Special Education
Supervisitor III/CD	UC San Diego	STEM; STEM Bilingual
Sweetwater UHSD	San Diego State	Special Ed; Special Ed Bilingual;
		STEM; STEM Bilingual; Single
		Subject Bilingual
Westside Union SD	Brandman University, CSU	Special Education
westside Union SD	Bakersfield	Special Education

Round 1 Capacity Grants

LEA	IHE	Residency Focus
Centinela Valley UHSD	UCLA	Multiple Subject Bilingual; Single Subject Bilingual
Santa Barbara COE	UC Santa Barbara, Antioch University	Special Ed; STEM; STEM Bilingual, Multiple Subject Bilingual; Single Subject Bilingual
San Joaquin COE	Teachers College of San Joaquin	Special Ed; STEM
Turlock USD	CSU Stanislaus	Special Ed Bilingual; STEM
Fairfield-Suisun USD	University of San Francisco	Special Ed; STEM; Single Subject Bilingual
Monterey COE	CSU Monterey Bay	Special Ed; STEM; Multiple Subject Bilingual
Santa Ana USD	CSU Fullerton	Special Ed
Almansor Academy	Pacific Oaks College, Pasadena	Special Ed
Oakland USD	Loyola Marymount University	Special Ed
Elk Grove USD	University of Pacific	Special Ed

Round 2 Capacity Grants – IHEs and Residency Focus Areas

Appendix B Teacher Residency Grant Recipients, IHE Partners, and Planned Residency Areas

Local Education Agency (LEA)	Institution of Higher Education (IHE) Partner(s)	Residency Focus
AchieveKids	Pacific Oaks College	Special Education
Bakersfield City School District*	California State University, Bakersfield	STEM; Multiple Subject Bilingual
Clovis Unified School District	California State University, Fresno	Special Education
Davis Joint Unified School District	California State University, Sacramento	Special Education
Elk Grove Unified School District	University of the Pacific	Special Education
Franklin McKinley School District	San Jose State University	Special Education; STEM
Fresno Unified School District	California State University, Fresno	Special Education; STEM; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Humboldt County Office of Education	Humboldt State University	Special Education
Kern High School District	California State University, Bakersfield	STEM
La Mesa-Spring Valley School District	San Diego State University	Special Education; STEM; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Los Angeles Unified School District	California State University, Dominguez Hills	Special Education; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Los Angeles Unified School District	California State University, Los Angeles	Special Education; STEM
Los Angeles Unified School District	California State University, Northridge	Special Education; STEM
Los Angeles Unified School District	University of California, Los Angeles	STEM; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Madera Unified School District	California State University, Fresno	Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Monterey County Office of Education	California State University, Monterey Bay	Special Education; STEM; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Multicultural Learning Center	California State University, Northridge	Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Napa Valley Unified School District	Sonoma State University	Special Education; Special Education with Bilingual

Local Education Agency (LEA)	Institution of Higher	Residency Focus
	Education (IHE) Partner(s)	Authorization; STEM; STEM with Bilingual Authorization; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization; Single Subject Non-STEM with Bilingual Authorization
Oakland Unified School District	Loyola Marymount University	Special Education
Oakland Unified School District*	California State University, East Bay University of California, Berkeley	STEM
Oxnard School District	California State University, Channel Islands	Special Education; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Pasadena Unified School District	California State University, Los Angeles	Special Education
Patterson Joint Unified School District	California State University, Stanislaus	STEM
Partnerships to Uplift Communities (PUC) Schools	Loyola Marymount University	Special Education; STEM
Sacramento City Unified School District	California State University, Sacramento	STEM
Salinas Union High School District*	California State University, Monterey Bay	
San Francisco Unified School District*	San Francisco State University	STEM
San Francisco Unified School District*	University of San Francisco Stanford University	STEM; STEM Bilingual; Multiple Subject Bilingual; Single Subject Bilingual
San Joaquin County Office of Education	Teachers College of San Joaquin	Special Education; STEM
Santa Ana Unified School District	California State University, Fullerton University of California, Irvine	Special Education; STEM
Stockton Unified School District	University of the Pacific California State University, Stanislaus	Special Education; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Sweetwater Union High School District	San Diego State University University of California, San Diego	Special Education; Special Education with Bilingual Authorization; STEM; STEM with Bilingual Authorization;

Local Education Agency (LEA)	Institution of Higher Education (IHE) Partner(s)	Residency Focus
		Single Subject Non-STEM
		with Bilingual Authorization
Tracy Joint Unified School District	Notre Dame de Namur University	Special Education
Turlock Unified School District	California State University, Stanislaus	Special Education; Multiple Subject with Bilingual Authorization
Upland Unified School District	California Polytechnic State University, Pomona	Special Education
Vista Hill Stein Education Center	San Diego State University	Special Education
West Contra Costa Unified School District	California State University, East Bay	Special Education
West Contra Costa Unified School District	California State University, East Bay University of California, Berkeley	STEM

*Teacher Residency Expansion LEA grantee