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Discussion of Precondition 1 Related to Non-Regionally Accredited Institutions and Other Program Sponsors

Introduction
The Commission has historically approved institutions of higher education and local education agencies to offer educator preparation programs. As part of the Commission’s General Preconditions, institutions of higher education are required to be accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC) or one of the other six regional accrediting bodies. For school districts or county offices of education (Local Education Agencies, or LEAs), the Precondition specifies that the LEA must submit evidence that the governing board approves the sponsorship of the preparation program.

At the January 2011 Committee on Accreditation (COA) meeting an agenda item was presented on the responsibilities of institutions approved by the Commission to offer educator preparation programs (http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/coa-agendas/2011-01/2011-01-item-09.pdf). Based on discussion at that meeting, staff brought an additional information item to the COA at the March 2011 meeting. At the April 2011 meeting the COA took action to forward suggested revised language for Precondition 1 to the Commission for discussion and possible adoption.

Background
When the Commission’s General Preconditions were first developed most sponsors of educator preparation programs were institutions of higher education (IHE). Now there are additional types of program sponsors, as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Program Sponsors by Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Sponsor Type</th>
<th>Number of Current Program Sponsors *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State University</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Colleges and Universities</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Education Agencies</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sponsors</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative STEM Sponsors</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Institutions</strong></td>
<td><strong>257</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*June 2011

Discussion
There are three key issues that prompted the COA to forward the consideration of a revised Precondition 1 to the Commission. These are: (1) sponsorship of educator preparation programs by school districts and county offices of education (LEAs); (2) potential sponsorship of educator preparation programs by entities that are not regionally accredited; and (3) program sponsor
Key Issue 1: Sponsorship of educator preparation programs by LEAs
Although LEAs are included within the current language of Precondition 1, the requirement for these entities is only that the Superintendent of the LEA verifies that the governing board has approved the sponsorship of the educator preparation program. However, the responsibilities for program sponsors are significant, and it was not clear that LEAs recognize and understand the depth and breadth of the commitment they are making when they approve sponsoring an educator preparation program. For example, program sponsors must commit to offering the program to candidates until the candidate completes the program, is dropped from the program, withdraws from the program, or transfers to another approved program. The COA believes that these requirements are not sufficiently addressed in the current language of Precondition 1.

Key Issue 2: Potential sponsorship of educator preparation programs by entities that are not regionally accredited
In June 2010 the Commission adopted a plan as required by SBX5 1 for organizations that are not regionally accredited but wish to offer educator preparation programs in California (http://www.ctc.ca.gov/commission/agendas/2010-06/2010-06-5B.pdf). As of the writing of this agenda item, no such entities have requested to begin the approval process. However, the current version of Precondition 1 only addresses regionally accredited IHEs and LEAs and does not address the requirements for non-regionally accredited prospective program sponsors, and needs to be modified for the future to include this type of potential educator preparation program sponsor.

Key Issue 3: Program responsibilities to candidates if and when a program closes
For IHEs, regional accreditation addresses large institution-wide issues including catalog rights and procedures for the closing of programs. WASC stated that programs at WASC-accredited institutions are expected to teach-out all students who have been accepted into a program if the program is going to close. In addition, WASC mentioned that this is in keeping with the federal policy on candidate rights and closing a program at an institution of higher education.

The COA believes that the current General Preconditions do not clearly or adequately address the responsibilities for both LEAs and entities that are not regionally accredited with respect to candidates who have been accepted into an approved program if the program is closed before the candidate has had time to complete the program.

Review of Precondition 1
The Commission’s Preconditions and Common Standards outline what an approved entity must do to offer one or more educator preparation programs in California. Precondition 1 specifies that all IHEs must be regionally accredited while LEAs must submit verification that the governing board has approved sponsorship of the program. There is no language within the adopted Precondition 1 that addresses entities that are regionally accredited colleges or universities, school districts and county offices of education.
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(1) **Accreditation and Academic Credit.** To be granted initial institutional accreditation by the Commission to become eligible to submit programs or to be granted initial program accreditation or continuing accreditation by the Committee on Accreditation, the program(s) must be proposed and operated by an institution that (a) is fully accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges or another of the six regional accrediting associations, and (b) grants baccalaureate academic credit or post baccalaureate academic credit, or both. (This provision does not apply to professional preparation programs offered by school districts.)

For school districts wishing to offer a professional preparation program, the Superintendent of the district shall submit verification of the governing board’s approval of sponsorship of the program.

**Proposed Revised Language for Precondition 1**

Provided below is a proposed revised Precondition 1 for the Commission’s discussion that addresses the key issues discussed above:

(1) **Accreditation and Academic Credit.** To be granted initial institutional accreditation by the Commission to become eligible to submit programs or to be granted initial program accreditation or continuing accreditation by the Committee on Accreditation, the program(s) must be proposed and operated by a college or university that (a) is fully accredited by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges or another of the six regional accrediting associations, and (b) grants baccalaureate academic credit or post baccalaureate academic credit, or both. (This provision does not apply to professional preparation programs offered by school districts.)

For school districts or other non-regionally accredited entities wishing to offer an educator preparation program, the Superintendent or CEO of the district or entity shall submit verification of the governing board’s approval of sponsorship of the program. The agreement to sponsor a program must include verification of the following:

Once a candidate is accepted and enrolls in an educator preparation program, the sponsor must offer the approved program, meeting the adopted standards, until the candidate:

i) completes the program,

ii) withdraws from the program,

iii) is dropped from the program based on established criteria, or

iv) is admitted to another approved program to complete the requirements, with minimal disruption, for the authorization in the event the program closes. In this event, an individual transition plan would need to be developed with each candidate.
Next Steps
Based on the Commission’s discussion, staff could prepare an action item for the August 2011 Commission meeting to adopt the proposed revised language for Precondition 1.