

Division VIII of Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations

Proposed Addition and Amendments to Title 5 of the California Code of Regulations Pertaining to the Teaching Permit for Statutory Leave

Final Statement of Reasons

Public Problem

There is no change to the public problem information since the original submission of the Initial Statement of Reasons.

Purpose of Proposed Action

There is no change from the original purpose of the proposed action in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

Updated Tally of Responses

The Commission received the following written responses to the public announcement:

Written Responses Representing Organizations in Support

1. Julie Gainey, Personnel Assistant, Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District
2. Jenny Teresi, Administrator I, Credentials Services, Riverside County Office of Education
3. Doreen Lohnes, Anne DelFosse, and Eli Gallup, Special Education Local Plan Area Administrators (SELPA) of California Santa Ana Unified School District
4. Peter Birdsall, Executive Director, California County Superintendents Educational Services Association
5. Patty Metheny, Ed. D., Administrator, EVSELPA, San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools
6. Joan Sanders, Director of Human Resources/Pupil Services, Alta Loma School District
7. Gina Scott, Credential Analyst, Riverside Unified School District

Written Responses Representing Personal Opinions in Support

1. Pamela Carson, Human Resources Technician, San Joaquin County Office of Education
2. Stephanie Magdaleno, Human Resources Technician, San Joaquin County Office of Education
3. Aimee Nicewonger, Credentials Analyst, San Joaquin County Office of Education
4. Jessica Garcia, Human Resources, Imagine Schools at Imperial Valley
5. Edward Cortez, Human Resources Clerk, Imagine Schools at Imperial Valley

6. Nancie Castro, Director of HR, Jefferson School District
7. Mary Kate Cain, Administrative Assistant of Human Resources, Lincoln Unified School District
8. Natercia Widmer, HR/Personnel, Escalon Unified School District
9. Rochelle Plummer, Personnel Assistant, Stockton Unified School District
10. Leticia Burrise, Personnel Analyst, Stockton Unified School District
11. Kristina Bragonje, HR Analyst, Stockton Unified School District
12. Vicky Ochoa, HR Specialist, Jefferson School District

The Commission received the following oral comments in support of the proposed regulations during the public hearing:

1. Rigel Massaro, Public Advocates
2. Janet Davis, California Federation of Teachers
3. Doug Gephardt, Association of California School Administrators
4. Jenny Teresi, Riverside County Office of Education
5. David Simmons, Ventura County Office of Education

Grand Total of Responses: 22

The Commission did not receive any written or oral comments opposing the proposed regulations during the public comment period or the public hearing.

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission has determined that no reasonable alternative considered by the agency or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the agency would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action or would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

Mandated Costs

These proposed regulations will not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts that must be reimbursed in accordance with Part 7 (commencing with section 17500) of the Government Code.