CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS
TITLE 5. EDUCATION
DIVISION 8. COMMISSION ON TEACHER CREDENTIALING

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 5, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS SECTION 80303 CLARIFYING A SUPERINTENDENT’S REPORTING REQUIREMENT AS TO A CREDENTIAL HOLDER’S CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT STATUS

INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

The Problem the Amendments Intend to Address

The proposed amendments to California Code of Regulations, title 5, Section 80303 (“regulation 80303”) will clarify the requirement for a superintendent to report when a school district takes an adverse employment action against a credential holder. Additionally, the proposed changes will clarify procedures that may be used by the public to report a superintendent who fails to submit a required report to the Commission on Teacher Credentialing (“Commission”) within thirty days of the final action by the district.

The current regulation requires notification to the Commission when a credential holder, working in a position requiring a credential is dismissed, resigns, is suspended for more than 10 days, retires, or is terminated as the result of an allegation of misconduct or while an allegation of misconduct is pending. The regulation further requires that the superintendent of the employing school district report the change in employment status to the Commission not later than 30 days after the employment action.

The broad goals of the regulation are to ensure the fitness of credential holders as well as the public safety of students being educated by credential holders. However, the regulation in its current form lacks clarity in some areas and contributes to three ongoing problems.

First, the existing language often results in districts over-reporting, to include such “misconduct” as unsatisfactory performance style or such final employment actions as lay-offs or reductions in workforce. This over-reporting consumes valuable Commission resources that are better invested in reviewing final employment actions that arise from genuine misconduct. Second, the current regulation fails to instruct superintendents as to what materials are required for the Commission to adequately investigate a credential holder. Again, this results in a diversion of Commission resources to ensure an adequate case file for review. This also delays the Commission’s investigation of a credential holder who may be unfit to teach. Finally, the jurisdictional restrictions placed on the Commission by Education Code, Section 44242.5, subdivisions (a) and (b)(3) have frustrated the purpose of the regulation in the sense that the Commission has little ability to review a superintendent for failure to comply with reporting requirements.

1 All references to regulations are to Title 5, California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated.
2 All references to statutes are to the California Education Code unless otherwise indicated.
Although these three problems have long existed, they were recently publicly highlighted in the California State Auditor’s November 2012 report concerning the Los Angeles Unified School District’s handling of allegations of misconduct against its employees. In the report, the State Auditor concluded that the Los Angeles Unified School District reported many cases that did not require reporting, thereby unnecessarily amplifying the workload for the Commission. In addition, the State Auditor advised that the district should take measures to ensure the reporting of complete information to the Commission. Further, the audit emphasized the district’s failure to timely report at least 144 cases when required to do so, which resulted in the Commission’s inability to review credential holders who may have been unfit for the classroom. These report findings clearly illustrate the concerns that give rise to the need for clarification of regulation 80303.

Accordingly, the Commission is presenting a proposal to amend the regulation 80303 reporting requirement to: 1) ensure that resources are devoted to the review of genuine educator misconduct; 2) secure complete and adequate case files for efficient review of educator misconduct; and 3) clarify for the public information sufficient for the Commission to obtain jurisdiction to commence an investigation as to superintendents who fail to satisfy reporting requirements.

**Purpose of Proposed Amendments: Implementing the Authorizing Statute**

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Commission by Section 44225, subdivision (q), and in order to implement Section 44225, the Commission proposes amendments to regulation 80303.

Section 44225, subdivision (q), the authorizing statute for regulation 80303, *requires* the Commission to “propose appropriate rules and regulations” to implement Section 44225. Under Section 44225, the Commission is responsible for meeting the following objectives, among others:

- Establishing professional standards. (See Section 44225, subdivision (a).)
- Reviewing and revising the code of ethics for the teaching profession. (See Section 44225, subdivision (c).)
- Ensuring that public school teachers have the human skills to inspire pupils, have the sensitivity to foster pupils’ self-esteem, are able to work effectively across a variety of socioeconomic and cultural backgrounds, and practice equity regardless of pupils’ ethnicity, gender, religious background, or disabling condition. (See Section 44225, subdivisions (d)(4)-(d)(8).)

Regulation 80303 currently assists the Commission in accomplishing these objectives in that it requires a superintendent to notify the Commission of a credential holder’s change in employment status due to an allegation of misconduct or while an allegation of misconduct is pending. The regulation compels the superintendent of the employing school district to report to the Commission not later than 30 days after the employment action. This notification triggers the Commission’s jurisdiction to review a credential holder for the allegations of misconduct. (See Section 44242.5, subdivisions (a) and (b)(3).) Accordingly, regulation 80303 supports the Commission in fulfilling its duty to ensure credential holder compliance with professional and ethical standards as required under Section 44225.
The proposed amendments to regulation 80303 will further aid the Commission in fulfilling this duty. The modifications will clarify the reporting requirement for superintendents. They will also delineate procedures that may be used by the public to report a superintendent who fails to submit a required report to the Commission. Accordingly, the amendments will likely encourage superintendent compliance with reporting requirements and thus timely Commission review of credential holder misconduct. The changes to regulation 80303 will safeguard the professional and ethical standards that are imperative to the profession as emphasized by the authorizing statute.

**Rationale for Amendments: Reasonably Necessary to Comply with the Authorizing Statute and Address the Problem**

The proposed amendments to regulation 80303 satisfy the Necessity Standard. The changes to the regulation are reasonably necessary to effectuate the Commission’s duties under Section 44225, the authorizing statute for the regulation. Again, Section 44225 requires the Commission to set “professional standards” and review the “code of ethics” for the profession.

Regulation 80303 aids the Commission in doing so in that it requires superintendents to report final employment actions that arise from misconduct. Nonetheless, the language of regulation 80303 should be clarified in order to address the three problems previously discussed: over-reporting, inadequate case files, and the Commission’s inability to review superintendents who fail to report. As mentioned previously, these three concerns were publicly highlighted in a recent report by the California State Auditor.

Unfortunately, there currently is no specific jurisdictional provision that would trigger the Commission’s ability to review a superintendent who over-reports, provides inadequate files, or fails to report altogether. If a superintendent decides not to report, it is unlikely that he/she would turn him/herself in to initiate the Commission’s jurisdiction for review under Section 44242.5, subdivisions (a) and (b)(3). Therefore, the Commission has little means of enforcing the reporting requirements.

It follows that a superintendent’s failure to comply with reporting requirements impedes the Commission’s ability to review credential holders who violate the code of ethics befitting of an educator. Thus, the Commission is restrained from complying with its duties under Section 44225.

The reasonable remedy is to modify regulation 80303 to better emphasize and clarify a superintendent’s already existing reporting requirements. The modifications will make it clear that the Commission requires reports as to misconduct, rather than reports as to substandard teaching or lay-offs. The changes will also ensure that a credential holder’s case file is complete and accurate by precisely defining the documentation required in a superintendent’s report. Further, the changes will clarify procedures that may be used by the public to give the Commission jurisdiction to review a superintendent who fails to submit a required report. These amendments will thereby aid the Commission in maintaining professional standards and a code of ethics for the teaching profession as mandated under Section 44225.
Economic Impact Assessment

The proposed amendments to regulation 80303 will not:

- Create or eliminate jobs within the State of California;
- Create new businesses or eliminate existing businesses within the State of California;
- Expand businesses currently doing business within the State of California;
- Directly benefit the environment within the State of California.

However, the proposed amendments to the regulation will benefit the health and welfare of California residents and worker safety. Credential holder misconduct that is relevant to the health and welfare of public school children (i.e. withholding nutrition from a student) or to public school employees (i.e. harassment of a co-worker), will be reviewed by the Commission more swiftly if superintendents’ reports are timely and complete.

Anticipated Benefits

The proposed amendments to regulation 80303 are anticipated to:

- Ensure that Commission resources are used efficiently.
- Secure complete and adequate case files for fair and efficient Commission review of educator misconduct.
- Clarify for the public the information sufficient for the Commission to obtain jurisdiction to commence an investigation as to superintendents who fail to satisfy reporting requirements.
- Aid the Commission in maintaining professional standards for the teaching profession as mandated under Section 44225.
- Increase protection of the health and welfare of California residents, namely public school children.
- Increase protection of worker safety in California, namely of employees of public schools.

Inadequate Reasonable Alternatives

The Commission must conclude that there are no adequate reasonable alternatives to the clarification of regulation 80303. Currently, the Commission sends correspondence to superintendents, reminding them of their reporting requirements under the regulation. Yet, the Commission lacks independent authority to do anything further. Failures to report still occur.

The amendments to regulation 80303 will better explain what is already required of superintendents. In addition, the amendments will define already-existing procedures that may be used by the public to report a superintendent who fails to comply with the regulation. If a member of the public requests information as to a superintendent’s failure to report and then submits that information to the Commission for review in the form of an affidavit or declaration, the Commission will have jurisdiction to initiate review of the superintendent under Section
44242.5, subdivision (b)(2). This method has already been utilized by the public to trigger the Commission’s jurisdiction to review a non-reporting superintendent under Section 44242.5, subdivision (b)(2). In other words, the jurisdictional provision is already in place. The amendments explain how the public can activate the Commission’s jurisdiction in such cases. They impose no additional regulatory burden on superintendents or the public.

No alternative would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected persons than the proposed amended regulation, or would be more cost effective to affected persons and equally effective in implementing statutory policy or other provision of law.

The Commission invites interested persons to present potential alternatives to the proposed amendments to regulation 80303 during the written comment period or at the public hearing.

**Documents Relied Upon by the Agency in Proposing Amendments**