

Draft of Annual Report of the COA

August 2012

Overview of this Report

This agenda item presents the *Annual Report of the Committee on Accreditation for 2011-12*.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the COA discuss the draft of the *Annual Report of the Committee on Accreditation for 2011-12*. A revised version of the document will be presented for further discussion and action at the October 2012 COA meeting.

Background

California Education Code and the *Accreditation Framework* require the COA to provide the Commission with a report on accreditation activities on an annual basis. Typically, the two Co-Chairs present the *Annual Report* at a fall meeting of the Commission.

The report is presented here for discussion and input and based upon the discussion, will be finalized for the COA's October Commission meeting.

Part III of the Annual Report is the Proposed Work Plan for the Committee on Accreditation in 2011-12. Because much of this work plan depends on discussions that will take place on other items during the August 2012 meeting, this section is presented as a work in progress and will be modified significantly depending on the COA discussion on those other items.

Next Steps

Once adopted, the Co-Chairs for 2011-2012 will present the *Annual Report* to the Commission at the December 6-7, 2012 Commission meeting.



COMMISSION ON
TEACHER CREDENTIALING

Ensuring Educator Excellence

**The Committee on Accreditation's
Annual Accreditation Report to the
Commission on Teacher Credentialing
2011-2012**

Dear Commissioners:

It is with personal and professional pleasure that, on behalf of the entire Committee on Accreditation, we submit to the Commission on Teacher Credentialing the *2011-2012 Annual Accreditation Report* by the Committee on Accreditation in accordance with the provisions of the *Accreditation Framework*. This report presents an overview of the activities and accomplishments of the Committee in the past year and its proposed work plan for 2012-2013 as it implements the Commission's accreditation system.

The *Annual Accreditation Report* is organized to address the purposes of the accreditation system: ensure accountability, ensure high quality programs, ensure adherence to standards and foster on-going improvement. Each purpose is addressed as the report notes what was accomplished in 2011-2012 and in the proposed work plan for 2012-2013. We believe that aligning the *Annual Accreditation Report* to these purposes provides useful information and demonstrates integrity with the accreditation system.

Although the Commission continues to be challenged by a difficult budget environment, the members of the COA nevertheless remain committed to ensuring that all prospective educators in California are prepared by the highest quality programs. We look forward to working in partnership with the Commission to review processes and procedures over the course of this next year and to continue to improve and refine a strong accountability system for educator preparation programs in California. The Committee stands ready to assist the Commission in achieving the goal of a high quality teacher in every classroom.

Sincerely,

Anne Jones
Committee Co-Chair

Nancy Watkins
Committee Co-Chair

The Committee on Accreditation

2011-2012

Joyce Abrams

Substitute Teacher
Chula Vista Hills Elementary School
Chula Vista Elementary School District

Kiran Kumar

Substitute Teacher
Pomona Unified School District

Deborah Erickson

Professor and Assistant Dean
California Lutheran University

Kenneth Lopour

Dean of Students
New Millennium Secondary School

Emelina Emaas

High School Teacher
Sacramento City USD

Reyes Quezada

Professor of Education
University of San Diego

Joseph Jimenez

BTSA Induction Cluster Regional Director
Tulare County Office of Education

Iris Riggs

Professor, Department of Science,
Mathematics and Technology Education
CSU, San Bernardino

Anne Jones

Assistant Dean
Director, Teacher Education Programs
University of California, Riverside

Nancy Watkins

Teacher, Valencia High School
Placentia-Yorba Linda School District,

Gary Kinsey

Associate Vice President and Director
of the School of Education
California State University, Channel Islands

Pia Wong

Associate Dean, College of Education
California State University, Sacramento

Committee Support Staff (Commission on Teacher Credentialing)

Teri Clark, Director, Professional Services Division
Cheryl Hickey, Administrator of Accreditation, Professional Services Division
Katie Croy, Consultant, Professional Services Division
Gay Roby, Consultant, Professional Services Division
Geri Mohler, Consultant, Professional Services Division
Teri Ackerman, Analyst, Professional Services Division

Table of Contents

Section I: Accomplishment of the Committee's Work Plan in 2011-12	
Purpose 1. Ensure Accountability to the Public and to the Profession	1
a) <i>Maintain public access to the Committee on Accreditation.</i>	1
b) <i>Preparation and presentation of COA reports to the Commission</i>	2
c) <i>Commission Liaison</i>	2
d) <i>Press Releases</i>	2
Purpose 2. Ensure Program Quality	2
a) <i>Professional accreditation of institutions and their credential preparation programs</i>	2
b) <i>Revise and finalize the Accreditation Handbook</i>	3
c) <i>Finalize the COA Accreditation Procedures Manual</i>	3
d) <i>Receive regular updates on Commission activities related to accreditation and provide Commission with advice on issues related to accreditation as requested by the Commission</i>	3
e) <i>Update members of the Board of Institutional Review (BIR)</i>	3
Purpose 3. Ensure Adherence to Standards	
a) <i>Review and take action to grant initial institutional approval of new credential programs</i>	4
b) <i>Conduct and review program assessment activities</i>	4
c) <i>Integrate Induction programs into the Commission's accreditation system</i>	4
d) <i>Disseminate information related to the Commission's Common Standards</i>	4
e) <i>Conduct technical assistance visits to institutions new to accreditation</i>	4
f) <i>Continue the discussion of how the Subject Matter Programs can be included in the accreditation system</i>	4
g) <i>Determine and enact effective strategies for reviewing those standards related to the implementation of the Teaching Performance Assessment</i>	5
Purpose 4. Foster Program Improvement	5
a) <i>Collect, analyze, report on the second year of biennial reports submitted in fall 2010</i>	5
b) <i>Continue development of the evaluation system for the accreditation system</i>	5
c) <i>Continue partnership with the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) and efforts to collaborate with other national accrediting bodies, where appropriate</i>	5
d) <i>Develop an agreement detailing how the Commission's accreditation system can function in alignment with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC)</i>	6
e) <i>Explore ways to align and streamline the accreditation of other national and professional organizations with that of the state process</i>	6
General Operations	6
Section II: Summary of Accreditation Activities 2011-12	7
<i>Professional Accreditation of Program Sponsors and their Credential Preparation Programs</i>	7
<i>Technical Assistance Site Visits</i>	11
<i>Initial Accreditation of New Credential Programs</i>	12
<i>Inactive Status</i>	17
<i>Withdrawal of an Approved Program</i>	18
<i>Reactivation</i>	18
Section III: Proposed Work Plan for the Committee in 2012-2013	20
Purpose 1. Ensure Accountability to the Public and the Profession	20
a) <i>Maintain public access to the Committee on Accreditation</i>	20
b) <i>Preparation and presentation of COA reports to the Commission</i>	20

c) <i>Commission Liaison</i>	20
Purpose 2. Ensure Program Quality	20
a) <i>Professional accreditation of institutions and their credential preparation programs</i> ..	20
b) <i>Revise and finalize the Accreditation Handbook</i>	21
c) <i>Receive regular updates on Commission activities related to accreditation and provide Commission with advice on issues related to accreditation as requested by the Commission</i>	21
Purpose 3. Ensure Adherence to Standards	21
a) <i>Review and take action to grant initial approval of new credential programs</i>	21
b) <i>Conduct and review program assessment activities</i>	21
c) <i>Conduct technical assistance visits to institutions new to accreditation.</i>	21
d) <i>Disseminate information related to the Commission’s Common Standards</i>	21
e) <i>Integrate induction programs into the Commission’s accreditation system</i>	21
f) <i>Continue the discussion of how Subject Matter Programs can be included in the accreditation system</i>	21
g) <i>Determine and enact effective strategies for reviewing those standards related to the implementation of the Teaching Performance Assessment</i>	22
Purpose 4. Foster Program Improvement	22
a) <i>Collect, analyze and report on the biennial reports submitted in fall 2012</i>	22
b) <i>Continued development of the evaluation system for the accreditation system</i>	22
c) <i>Continue Partnership with the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) and efforts to collaborate with other national accrediting bodies, where appropriate</i>	22
d) <i>Monitor the agreement detailing how the Commission’s accreditation system can function in alignment with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC)</i>	23
e) <i>Explore ways to align and streamline the accreditation of other national and professional organizations with that of the state processes</i>	23
General Operations	23
Appendix A: Accreditation Activities 2011-12	24
Appendix B: Accreditation Activities 2012-13	28
Appendix C: Institutions by Cohort	29

Section I: Accomplishment of the Committee's Work Plan in 2011-2012

On August 3, 2011 the Committee on Accreditation (COA) adopted the work plan for 2011-2012. Co-Chairs Carol Leighty and Gary Kinsey presented this work plan to the Commission at the December 10, 2011 Commission meeting. The items that follow represent the key components of the 2011-2012 work plan for the COA and a summary of each task and its current status.

Purpose 1. Ensure Accountability to the Public and to the Profession

- a) *Maintain public access to the Committee on Accreditation.* All Committee meetings were held in public with all meeting agendas posted in accordance with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. In addition, meetings were transmitted via audio broadcast and video webcast to allow any individual with access to the internet the ability to hear live or recorded broadcasts of all Committee meetings. The Commission's website was utilized fully to provide agenda items, notification of meetings, as well as broad-based access to critical accreditation materials for institutions and others interested in accreditation. The COA held meetings as follows:

August 2-3, 2011
October 27, 2011
February 1-2, 2012
March 14-15, 2012
April 18-19, 2012
May 30-31, 2012
June 27-28, 2012

COA meetings were broadcast live over the internet. Except where technical difficulties occurred, agenda items and the video and audio archive of the meetings are housed on the Commission website. Videoconference and Skype have been used frequently in order that those located in various regions of California who are involved in accreditation activities can participate from a videoconferencing center. This resulted in significant cost savings to the Commission.

PSD-News

The PSD E-news was developed in 2008 and was maintained on nearly a weekly basis throughout 2011-12. This electronic correspondence notifies nearly 1,300 individuals, including all approved institutions, of on-going activities related to the Professional Services Division. Previously, the number of individuals on the list was 300, indicating that the PSD E-news has experienced significant growth in readership in 2011-12. Information on accreditation-related activities such as standards development and revision work and technical assistance workshops are routinely distributed via this communication tool.

Program Sponsor Alerts

Established in 2008, Program Sponsor Alerts provide important and timely information on specific topics of interest to program sponsors. The Commission staff continued to use this resource frequently during the 2011-2012 year. The Program

Sponsor Alert format addresses a specific issue, such as institutional responsibilities, implementation of inactive status for programs, or modification to preconditions for multiple and single subject programs. These Program Sponsor Alerts are sent via e-mail to the program contact and archived at: <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/PS-alerts.html>.

Assistance to the Field

In 2011-2012 a variety of activities took place designed to share information about the current accreditation system and its implementation. All technical assistance meetings were broadcast through the web and the broadcast archived for access by stakeholders: <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/webcasts.html>. Highlights of the activities related to accreditation only are noted in the following table:

Date	Technical Assistance Activity By Topic
May 5, 2011	2011-12 Accreditation Site Visits Year Out Pre-Visits (Joint NCATE visits)
May 13, 2011	2011-12 Accreditation Site Visits Year Out Pre-Visit Broadcasts (Visits to Very Small [1-2 programs] Institutions)
May 23, 2011	2011-12 Accreditation Site Visits Year Out Pre-Visit (CTC Site Visits)

- b) *Preparation and presentation of COA reports to the Commission.* The Committee on Accreditation presented its annual report to the Commission at the December 2011 Commission meeting (<http://www.ctc.ca.gov/commission/agendas/2011-12/2011-12-5D.pdf>).
- c) *Commission Liaison.* The liaison from the Commission is invited to attend each COA meeting. The liaison participates in discussions and brings the perspective of the Commission to the COA. In addition, the liaison then reports back to the Commission on the activities of the Committee. Commission Chair Charles Gahagan served in this role for the Commission but has since appointed Commissioner Louise Stymeist as liaison.

Purpose 2. Ensure Program Quality

- a) *Professional accreditation of institutions and their credential preparation programs.* This is the principal, ongoing task of the Committee on Accreditation. The COA has been given full responsibility for making the legal decisions regarding the continuing professional education accreditation of institutions and their credential programs. In the 2011-2012 academic year, accreditation site visits were held at 38 institutions. Visits were held at 15 institutions of higher education, 22 county offices of education and/or school districts, and one school administrators association. Five institutions were revisited in 2011-2012 to ensure sufficient progress in addressing issues identified in previous accreditation visits. A list of the institutions that had a site visit or revisit in 2011-2012 is included in Section II of this report.
- b) *Revise and Maintain the Accreditation Handbook.* As the Committee fine-tunes the accreditation system, the *Accreditation Handbook* has been updated throughout the year. This document explicates the processes and procedures of the various

components of the Commission's accreditation system and will be continually updated and revised to ensure its accuracy.

- c) *Receive regular updates on Commission activities related to accreditation and provide the Commission with advice on issues related to accreditation as requested.* The COA received updates on Commission activities at each meeting.

Purpose 3. Ensure Adherence to Standards

- a) *Review and take action to grant initial approval of new credential programs.* This is also one of the major ongoing tasks of the Committee on Accreditation. The COA has developed procedures for handling the submission of proposed credential programs. Some of the decisions are made on the basis of expert review panel recommendations and some are made on the basis of staff recommendations. In all cases, programs are not given initial approval until the reviewers have determined that all of the Commission's standards are met. A list of the 74 credential programs approved in the 2011-12 year is included in Section II of this report.
- b) *Conduct and review program assessment activities.* Institutions in the Violet cohort have completed or will complete the program assessment process, while those in the Indigo cohort began the process. Those programs that have completed or begun program assessment in 2011-12 are included in Appendix A.
- c) *Conduct technical assistance visits to institutions new to accreditation.* Review teams conducted technical assistance visits to two institutions in preparation for a full accreditation site visit in the future. A list of institutions that hosted a technical assistance site visit in the 2011-12 year is included in Section II.
- d) *Disseminate information related to the Commission's Common Standards.* Ensuring that institutions understand the requirements contained in the Common Standards continued to be an important function during the 2011-12 year. Discussions continued to take place with Commission staff and Cluster Regional Directors, and with the COA on the Common Standards, particularly on Common Standard 2 which is among the more difficult standards to understand. For Common Standard 2, the COA worked to adopt additional guidance to assist institutions, team leads, and consultants in understanding the various components necessary to meet Common Standard 2.
- e) *Integrate Induction programs into the Commission's accreditation system.* 2011-2012 was the third year for inclusion of Induction programs into the Commission's accreditation system, and the second year for site visits. All cohorts have submitted at least one Biennial Report and all but two cohorts (Blue and Green) have participated in program assessment.
- f) *Continue the discussion of how the Subject Matter Programs can be included in the accreditation system.* Subject matter programs continue to be outside the ongoing accreditation cycle. Unless the Commission is able to find additional resources, this particular topic, although important, will not be part of the work plan for the 2012-13 year.

- g) *Determine and enact effective strategies for reviewing those standards related to the implementation of the Teaching Performance Assessment.* In 2010, the Commission employed a small group of experts in three approved models of the teaching performance assessment to review institutional responses to all standards applicable to the TPA. This strategy was continued in 2011-12 and was generally successful in ensuring the documentation indicated that the standards were being met. In addition, each site visit team to a program that was implementing the TPA was comprised of at least one individual who had a good understanding of the requirements for the TPA implementation. Commission staff would like to continue to work on additional strategies to ensure that site visit teams are appropriately trained to understand the various complexities of TPA implementation.

Purpose 4. Foster Program Improvement

- a) *Collect, analyze, and report on biennial reports submitted in fall 2011.* The 2011-2012 academic year was the fifth full year of implementation of the biennial report component of the revised accreditation system. All institutions in three of the seven cohorts (Red, Green, Indigo) were required to submit candidate competence and performance data in their biennial reports in the fall of 2011. A list of all institutions required to submit biennial reports is included in Appendix A. The CTC feedback form was modified for institutions submitting in fall 2011 in order to more clearly indicate beneficial aspects of the biennial report that tied to the data and to program standards. Calibration of reviewers on data submitted in the biennial report will continue to be important in 2012-13.
- b) *Continued development of the evaluation system for the accreditation system.* Staff and the COA continued to work to ensure that additional evaluation components are embedded into the system. An on-line evaluation form that team members, team leaders, and institutions complete at the conclusion of a site visit continued to be used successfully. A major focus was providing assistance to institutions as they prepared their biennial reports, both through on-site meetings and webcasts. The evaluation data from site visit teams and institutions will be evaluated and discussed with the COA.
- c) *Continue Partnership with the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) and efforts to collaborate with other national accrediting bodies, where appropriate.* The Partnership Agreement with NCATE was renewed in 2007 and is effective through 2014. The COA will continue monitoring the agreement to make certain that the implementation of the partnership results in assuring that state issues are appropriately addressed in each joint NCATE-CTC visit and that the process reduces duplication. In 2011-12, the COA approved modifications to the NCATE Partnership. The Partnership Agreement with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) expires in 2012. The Commission has participated fully in the pilot of the NCATE continuous improvement model and the first institution in California was reviewed under the transformational initiative model.

With the merging of NCATE and TEAC into the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP), the Commission anticipates reviewing a new protocol. NCATE and TEAC originally requested states to begin the process of developing

new protocols with the unified entity and then asked to postpone that process until the new entity had progressed further in its development. In the coming months, the COA will begin discussion of the development of a California CAEP protocol.

- d) *Monitor the agreement detailing how the Commission's accreditation system can function in alignment with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC).* The COA took action in January 2010 to adopt the initial agreement with TEAC. The agreement is for two years and one institution, Chapman University, had a joint site visit in February 2011. The COA continued to monitor the agreement both before and after this first review to ensure that the process is efficient and effective. The COA completed the alignment matrix in 2011 which identifies which concepts in the Commission's Common Standards were addressed by the TEAC Quality Principles and Standards of Program Capacity and which concepts were not explicitly addressed. Since the TEAC partnership agreement expires in 2012, and since the CAEP process is moving forward, the Commission staff will consult with TEAC to determine whether an extension would be the most prudent course of action. Staff will report on this at the October 2012 COA meeting.

- e) *Explore ways to align and streamline the accreditation of other national and professional organizations with that of the state processes.* During 2011-12, the Commission staff worked with the PPS School Social Work community to develop a standards alignment matrix. Work will continue to vet this draft with stakeholders and to make refinements as appropriate. In the coming months, the COA will determine whether to adopt the alignment matrices with the PPS School Social Work. If adopted by the COA, the Commission will have determined alignment matrices for the American Speech Language Hearing Association (ASHA), the Council for the Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP), and the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP).

General Operations

In addition to the above-mentioned items, the COA engaged in routine matters necessary for general operations of the Committee. This included the election of Co-Chairs, the adoption of a meeting schedule, orientation of new members, and modification of the *Accreditation Handbook*.

Section II: Summary of 2011-2012 Accreditation Activities

This section of the report provides more detailed information about elements of the 2011-2012 Work Plan with a focus on accreditation activities.

Professional Accreditation of Program Sponsors and their Credential Preparation Programs

2011-2012 accreditation decisions were made based upon the written reports of the evidence gathered at the site visit, recommendations made by the team, and the COA interview of program leadership and the team lead. Teams reviewed documentation, interviewed a variety of constituencies (candidates, program completers, faculty, employers, administration, supervisors, etc.), deliberated, and came to consensus on findings for all common standards, program standards, and an accreditation recommendation. Commission consultants, team leads, and institutional representatives attended Committee on Accreditation meetings to present the results of the site visit report and respond to questions. Copies of the site visit team reports are available on the Commission's website at: <http://www.ctc.ca.gov/educator-prep/accreditation-reports.html>. The COA made the following accreditation determinations in 2011-12:

COA Accreditation Decisions 2011-2012 Visits	
Program Sponsor	Accreditation Decision
CalStateTEACH	Accreditation
St. Mary's College	Accreditation
University of California Santa Barbara	Accreditation
California State University Sacramento	Accreditation with Stipulations
Association of California School Administrators	Accreditation
California State University Dominguez Hills	Accreditation with Stipulations
California State University Los Angeles	Accreditation
University of San Diego	Accreditation
Point Loma Nazarene	Accreditation
Burbank USD	Accreditation
Orange USD	Accreditation
Los Angeles USD (414/433)	Accreditation
Manteca USD (311)	Accreditation
Sonoma State University	Accreditation
University of California Berkeley	Accreditation
Arcadia USD (435)	Accreditation
Pleasanton USD (230)	Accreditation
Riverside COE (612)	Accreditation
Pacific Union College	Accreditation with Stipulations
Davis Joint USD (104)	Accreditation
Campbell USD (203)	Accreditation
Tulare City ESD (318)	Accreditation
Marin COE (110)	Accreditation
Poway USD (521)	Accreditation
Hanford ESD (321)	Accreditation
Pepperdine University	Accreditation with Stipulations

COA Accreditation Decisions 2011-2012 Visits	
Program Sponsor	Accreditation Decision
Concordia University	Accreditation
Placer COE (114)	Accreditation
University of California Santa Cruz	Accreditation
Sutter COE (121)	Accreditation
Redwood City (214)	Accreditation
Oakland USD (212)	Accreditation
Chula Vista ESD (505)	Accreditation
Culver City USD (407)	Accreditation
University of California Los Angeles	Accreditation with Stipulations
Bay Area School for Enterprise/REACH (234)	Accreditation with Stipulations
Contra Costa COE (204)	Accreditation
Temple City USD (425)	Accreditation with Stipulations

In addition, in 2011-12, revisits were conducted for five institutions assigned stipulations as a result of site visits conducted in 2010-11. After these revisits, the COA made the following decisions:

2011-2012 Accreditation Follow-Up		
Revisits		
Program Sponsor	2010-11 Decision	2011-12 Revisit Decision
CSU Stanislaus	Accreditation (Visit was to address NCATE standard only.)	Accreditation
San Jose State University	Accreditation with Stipulations	Accreditation
The Master's College	Accreditation with Stipulations	Accreditation
Rialto USD	Accreditation with Major Stipulations	Accreditation
California Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo	Accreditation with Stipulations	Accreditation
Submission of Documentation Addressing Stipulations		
Program Sponsor	2009-10 Decision	2011-12 Decision
High Tech High	Accreditation with Stipulations	Accreditation with Stipulations Retained two stipulations

Analysis of Standard Decisions

As previously noted, a total of 38 visits took place in 2011-12. Of the 38 site visits, 15 were to institutions of higher education, 22 visits were to school districts and county offices of education, and one was to the Association of California School Administrators. 2011-12 was the second year when Induction programs sponsored by local education agencies (school districts

and county offices of education) were fully integrated into the Commission’s accreditation system.

The Commission’s revised Common Standards (2008) were utilized in all accreditation site visits in 2011-2012. For institutions that are also NCATE accredited, the NCATE Unit Standards and the four components of the Commission’s Common Standards are used for the site visit.

A review of the year’s site visit results serves as information for the COA and staff in determining needs of institutions for technical assistance meetings and as a guide for institutions as they prepared for site visits. The information regarding findings on the Common Standards from 2011-2012 is presented in the following table.

Findings on the Common Standards 2011-2012 Accreditation Site Visits			
Summary of 38 site visits	Standard Findings		
	Met	Met with Concerns	Not Met
Standard 1: Education Leadership	34	4	0
	89%	11%	0%
Standard 2: Unit and Program Assessment and Evaluation	29	7	2
	76%	18%	5%
Standard 3: Resources	35	3	0
	92%	8%	0%
Standard 4: Faculty and Instructional Personnel	38	0	0
	100%	0%	0%
Standard 5: Admission	38	0	0
	100%	0%	0%
Standard 6: Advice and Assistance	36	2	0
	95%	5%	0%
Standard 7: Field Experience and Clinical Practice	37	1	0
	97%	3%	0%
Standard 8: District Employed Supervisors (not applicable to Tier II preparation programs)	12	3	0
	80%	20%	0%
Standard 9: Assessment of Candidate Competence	36	2	0
	95%	5%	0%

The Common Standards that posed the most difficulty in meeting fully were Common Standard 1: Education Leadership and Standard 2: Unit and Program Assessment and Evaluation. Common Standard 2 had the highest percentage not fully met (23%). It was also the only standard that received a “not met” finding (2 institutions). For institutions with preliminary preparation programs, Common Standard 8 was less than fully met 20% of the time.

A summary of the information gathered on each type of educator preparation program at the 38 site visits is presented in a series of tables below. Each type of credential program is noted separately. If a standard is not listed, all institutions which offer that program met that standard. As with the information about the Common Standards, this information about standards that were *Not Met* or were *Met with Concerns* guides the COA and staff in determining what additional technical assistance might be helpful to the field.

Preliminary Multiple Subject Standards (16 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Design	1	
7A: Reading, Writing, and Related Language Instruction	1	
11: Using Technology in the Classroom	1	
14: Preparation to Teach Special Populations in the General Education Classroom	2	
15: Learning to Teach Through Supervised Fieldwork	3	

Preliminary Single Subject Standards (15 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Design	1	
7B: Preparation to Teach Reading-Language Arts	1	
11: Using Technology in the Classroom	1	
14: Preparation to Teach Special Populations in the General Education Classroom	2	
15: Learning to Teach Through Supervised Fieldwork	3	

General Education Induction Standards (23 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Rationale and Design,	3	
2: Communication and Collaboration	1	1
3: Support Providers and Professional Development Providers	2	1
6: Universal Access: Equity for all Students	1	

General Education (MS/SS) Clear (5 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Rationale and Design	1	1
2: Communication and Collaboration		1
3: Support Providers and Professional Development Providers		1

Special Education Induction Standards (6 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Rationale and Design	1	1
2: Communication and Collaboration		1
3: Support Providers and Professional Development Providers		1
7: Education Specialist Induction Program Menu	1	

Preliminary Education Specialist Teaching Credentials and Other Related Services Credential (1-16)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Design, Rationale and Coordination	1	
2: Assessment and Evaluation of Students with Mild/Moderate Disabilities	1	
15: Field Experience in a Broad Range of Service Delivery Options	4	

Preliminary Administrative Services (11 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
9: Assessment of Candidate Competence	1	

Pupil Personnel Services: School Social Work (3 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
11: Learning Theory and Educational Psychology	1	

Reading Certificate (5 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Design, Rationale and Coordination	1	

Career Technical Education (3 site visits)	Met with Concerns	Not Met
1: Program Design and Rationale		1
6: Determination of CTE Teacher Competence		1
7: Advanced Programs of Preparation		1

All program standards were found to be met in a number of credential programs. The table below lists program types and the total number of those programs where all standards were met during 2011-12 site visits.

Credential Programs with All Program Standards Met	Number of Site Visits
Adapted Physical Education	1
Bilingual Authorization	3
California Teachers of English learners (CTEL)	3
Career/Technology Education Program	1
Clinical Rehab: Orientation and Mobility	1
Designated Subjects	2
Education Specialist Added Authorization: Adapted Physical Education	2
Education Specialist Added Authorization: Autism Spectrum Disorder	4

Education Specialist Added Authorization: Emotionally Disturbed	1
Education Specialist: DHH	1
Education Specialist: ECE	3
Education Specialist: ECSE Level I and II	1
Education Specialist: ECSE AA	1
Education Specialist: Mild/Moderate Level I	5
Education Specialist: Mild/Moderate Level II	2
Education Specialist: Moderate/Severe Level I	2
Education Specialist: Moderate Severe Level II	3
Education Specialist: PHI	1
Education Specialist: VI	1
General Education, MS	3
General Education, SS	3
General Education, MS/SS	4
General Education Induction	13
General Education Clear	1
Clear Education Specialist Induction	6
Preliminary Administrative Services	10
Professional Clear Administrative Services	7
Pupil Personnel: School Social Work	2
Pupil Personnel: Child Welfare & Attendance	5
Pupil Personnel: School Counseling	9
Pupil Personnel: School Psychology	5
Reading Certificate (only)	1
Reading Language Arts Specialist	4
School Nurse	1
School Nurse: Special Teacher Authorization	1
Speech and Language Pathology Special Class	1
Speech-Language Pathology	2

Technical Assistance Site Visits

Institutions new to the Commission’s accreditation system host a technical assistance site visit approximately two years before the scheduled site visit. During the 2011-12 year, technical assistance visits were held at the following institutions:

- Inner City Educational Foundation (ICEF – Los Angeles Unified School District)
- Animo Leadership Charter High School (Green Dot Public Schools)

After the technical assistance site visit an information item is presented to the COA on the progress of the entity in preparing for its future site visit and generally on its implementation of the standards in its first years of operation.

Initial Approval of New Credential Programs

Institutions that would like a program to be considered for Initial Program Approval submit a document that indicates how the program will meet each of the standards along with supporting documents that serve as evidence to verify the claims made. In addition, the institution submits a response to all relevant program specific preconditions that are reviewed by Commission staff as well as Common Standards document (or a Common Standards addendum if the institution has recently submitted Common Standards). A team of educators who have expertise in the program area and are trained for the review process read the standards documents and consult with one another to determine whether standards are met. If the reviewers jointly agree that standards are met, it is so noted. If the review team agrees that standards are not met, reviewers request specifically what additional information is needed. This feedback is shared with the institution by the CTC staff. When all standards are found to be met and all relevant preconditions are determined to be addressed, Commission staff forwards the item, along with a paragraph about the program written by the institution, to the COA agenda at the next scheduled meeting. Initial program approvals include programs that are new to the credential area. 2011-2012 Initial Program Approval actions taken by the Committee on Accreditation are listed in the tables below.

Preliminary Multiple Subject (4)
Bard College
Humphreys College
Teachers College of San Joaquin
Antioch University

Preliminary Single Subject (3)
Bard College—Social Science, English Language Arts, Science, Mathematics, Music
Teacher College of San Joaquin—Agriculture, Art, Business, English, Health, Home Economics, Industrial and Technology Education, Languages Other than English, Mathematics, Music, Physical Education, Science, Social Science

General Education (MS/SS) Clear (3)
Claremont Graduate University
Dominican University of California
Antioch University

General Education (MS/SS) Induction (1)
Teachers College of San Joaquin

Reading Certificate (Added Authorization) (1)
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Bilingual Authorization (8)
CSU, Sacramento (Spanish and Hmong)
CSU, San Marcos (Spanish)
University of Southern California (Spanish)
University of California, San Diego (American Sign Language)
CSU San Bernardino (Spanish)

Fresno State University (Spanish and Hmong)
University of California Los Angeles (Spanish)
San Francisco State University (Spanish, Mandarin, Cantonese)

Education Specialist Mild/Moderate Disabilities (4)
University of Redlands
University of Southern California
Teachers College of San Joaquin
Antioch University (with internship)

Education Specialist Moderate/Severe Disabilities (1)
Teachers College of San Joaquin

Education Specialist Added Authorization: Autism Spectrum Disorder (6)
Fresno Unified School District
California Polytechnic State University San Luis Obispo
Ontario-Montclair School District
San Diego County Office of Education
Teachers College of San Joaquin
Antioch University

Early Childhood Special Education Credential (1)
Teachers College of San Joaquin

Education Specialist Added Authorization: Early Childhood Special Education (4)
Ventura County Office of Education
Madera County Office of Education
San Joaquin County Office of Education
Stanislaus County Office of Education

Education Specialist Added Authorization: Orthopedically Impaired (1)
Madera County Office of Education

Preliminary Administrative Services Credential (3)
Sacramento County Office of Education
Mills College
Teachers College of San Joaquin

Professional Administrative Services (5)
Notre Dame de Namur
Placer County Office of Education
REACH Institute for School Leadership
Santa Clara County Office of Education
San Mateo/Santa Cruz County Office of Education

Professional Preparation for the Career and Technical Education (3)
California State University, Long Beach
North State Beginning Teacher Program with Tehama County Department of Education
Orange County Department of Education

Clear Education Specialist (22)
San Diego USD
San Luis Obispo County Office of Education
Walnut Valley BTSA Induction Consortium
Monterey County Office of Education
Murrieta Valley Unified School District
Pleasanton Unified School District
Santa Cruz County Office of Education
Riverside Unified School District
Santa Clarita Valley BTSA Consortium
Claremont Graduate University
National Hispanic University
California State University, Los Angeles
Etiwanda School District
Orange County Department of Education
San Dieguito SD
Stockton USD
William S. Hart Union High School District
Bakersfield City School District
San Bernardino City Unified School District
Tracy Unified School District
Campbell Union School District
Hacienda La Puente Unified School District

Designated Subjects: Adult Education (2)
Ventura County Office of Education
University of California, Berkeley

Adapted Physical Education (1)
Azusa Pacific University

Professional Preparation for the Agriculture Specialist (1)
University of California, Davis

Teacher Librarian Services Credential: Information and Digital Literacy Special Class Authorization (1)
California State University, Long Beach

Transitioned Programs

In 2011-12 institutions continued to transition their existing programs from prior standards to newly adopted standards. When the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher

Education (NCATE) adopted its updated Unit Standards, NCATE did not require all accredited institutions to submit a new proposal addressing the revised standards. Beginning with the Education Specialist standards revision, the Commission is implementing a standard transition process that parallels the NCATE process, requiring that all accredited institutions meet the revised standards as of a specific date. Either within one year after an institution has transitioned to new standards, or during the next regularly scheduled program assessment if it falls within an acceptable time frame, the institution will be evaluated against the updated standards. Provided below is the list of programs that transitioned in 2011-12.

Preliminary Education Specialist, Mild/Moderate (10)
National Hispanic University
Antioch University, Los Angeles
California State University East Bay
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo
Fresno Pacific University
Los Angeles Unified School District
Mt. Diablo Unified School District/Fortune School of Education
Pacific Oaks University
San Diego State University
University of La Verne

Preliminary Education Specialist, Moderate/Severe (4)
California State University, East Bay
Fresno Pacific University
Los Angeles Unified School District
San Diego State University

Preliminary Education Specialist, Deaf and Hard of Hearing (2)
University of California San Diego
California State University, Fresno

Preliminary Education Specialist, Physical and Other Health Impairments (2)
California State University, Los Angeles
Fresno Pacific University

Orthopedically Impairments (1)
California State University, Los Angeles

Preliminary Education Specialist, Early Childhood Special Education (5)
San Diego State University
Fresno Pacific University
California State University, Dominguez Hills
California State University, Fullerton
National University

Speech-Language Pathology (SLP) Services (8)
California State University, Chico
California State University, Fullerton
San Francisco State University
San Jose State University
California State University, Sacramento
California State University, Los Angeles
California State University, San Marcos
California State University, East Bay

Preliminary Education Specialist Added Authorization: Autism Spectrum Disorder (8)
California State University, Los Angeles
California State University, East Bay
Antioch University, Los Angeles
Los Angeles Unified School District
Santa Clara University
California State University, Stanislaus
University of La Verne
California State University, Monterey Bay

Preliminary Education Specialist Added Authorization: Adapted PE (8)
California State University, Chico
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona
San Francisco State University
California State University, Long Beach
Humboldt State University
California State University, San Bernardino
Sonoma State University
California State University, Northridge

Preliminary Education Specialist Added Authorization: Early Childhood Special Education (13)
California State University, Bakersfield
San Jose State University
California State University, Chico
San Diego State University
University of California, Riverside
California State University, Dominguez Hills
California State University, Fullerton
California State University, San Bernardino
Fresno Pacific University
National University
Point Loma Nazarene University
San Joaquin County Office of Education – Project Impact
San Francisco State University

Preliminary Education Specialist Added Authorization: Resource Specialist (5)
Azusa Pacific University
California State University, Dominguez Hills
California State University, Northridge
Fresno Pacific University
California State University, Fullerton

Preliminary Education Specialists Added Authorization: Speech-Language Pathology Services (3)
San Diego State University
University of Redlands
California State University, East Bay

Preliminary Education Specialist Added Authorization: Emotional Disturbance
Point Loma Nazarene University

Education Specialist Added Authorization: Other Related Special Education Services, Orientation and Mobility (1)
San Francisco State University

General Education Clear (1)
Whittier College

Reading Certificate (4)
California State University, East Bay
University of La Verne
San Diego State University
Sonoma State University

Reading and Language Arts Specialist (2)
San Diego State University
Sonoma State University

Inactive Status

Institutions may temporarily cease offering an approved program for a variety of reasons such as decreased need in the service area or changes in faculty with expertise in the area. In the past, once a program was approved, it was listed as approved on the Commission website even if the program was not being offered at the institution. At the May 2008 meeting, the COA took action to allow institutions to declare a program to be ***Inactive***. A program may be declared inactive for a maximum of five years. The following programs noted below were deemed as having Inactive status in 2011-12.

Professional Preparation Programs Entering Inactive Status in 2011-2012 (22)	
Institution	Program
University of San Diego	California Teachers of English Learners (CTEL) Program, effective July 5, 2011

Professional Preparation Programs Entering Inactive Status in 2011-2012 (22)	
Institution	Program
	BCLAD (Spanish Program) effective July 15, 2011
Santa Rosa City Schools	General Education (MS/SS) Induction Credential Program, effective August 1, 2011
	Education Specialist Credential Program, effective August 1, 2011
Dos Palos Oro Loma USD	General Education (MS/SS) Induction Credential Program, effective June 2, 2011
California State University, Chico	Reading Language Arts, Effective August 24, 2009 CTEL Certificate Program, effective September 1, 2011
California State University, Sacramento	Single Subject Credential with Internship Option, effective August 19, 2011
	Resource Specialist Credential, effective August 10, 2011
	Education Specialist - Added Authorization – Adapted Physical Education, effective September 15, 2011
University of Redlands	Special Class Authorization Program, effective September 7, 2011
Pacific Oaks College	Level II Education Specialist Credential– Mild/Moderate Disabilities, effective September 30, 2011
California State University, San Bernardino	Health Services: School Nurse, effective October 13, 2011
California State University, Dominguez Hills	Education Specialist - Added Authorization – Autism Spectrum Disorder, effective September 1, 2011
Argosy University	Preliminary Multiple Subjects, effective October 27, 2011
	Preliminary Single Subjects (Mathematics, English, General Science, Social Science, Physical Education, Health, Home Economics, Languages Other than English), effective October 27, 2011

Withdrawal of an Approved Program

For a variety of reasons, institutions may choose to no longer offer an approved program. Institutions are encouraged to formally seek a withdrawal of these programs thus removing the program from the Commission’s accreditation system. The program is then no longer considered a Commission approved program. If an institution decides to offer a program in the future, it is a minimum of two years before a new program proposal will be accepted. The following institutions and programs selected this option in the 2010-2011 year.

Withdrawn Programs of Professional Preparation (21)	
Bethany University	Multiple Subject Credential Program
	Single Subject Credential Program
La Sierra University	Pupil Personnel Services: School Counseling
California State University, San Marcos	Education Specialist Credential Added Authorization: Emotional Disturbance
	General Education (MS/SS) Clear
California State University, Chico	Other Related Education Specialist Services: Special Class Authorization: Speech Language Pathology Level II Education Specialist Program: Early Childhood Special Education Certificate Program
	Reading Language Arts Specialist
	Reading Certificate Program
	Teacher Librarian Services Credential
University of California, Los Angeles	Designated Subjects – Adult Education Program
	Designated Subjects – Career Technical Education Program
California State University, Los Angeles	Health Services: School Nurse Credential Program
University of California, Santa Barbara	Multiple and Single Subject Programs, BCLAD Emphasis
Sonoma State University	Education Specialist: Resource Specialist
California State University, Bakersfield	Bilingual and Cross-Cultural Language and Academic Development (BCLAD) Spanish
	Reading/Language Arts Specialist
	School Nurse
California State University, Fullerton	Speech Language Pathology: Special Class Authorization
Occidental College	Preliminary Multiple Subjects Preliminary Single Subjects (English, Languages Other than English, Mathematics, Music, Science, and Social Science)

Institutions that No Longer are Approved Program Sponsors

During 2011-12, three institutions ceased to be Commission-approved program sponsors due to a variety of circumstances. Antioch Los Angeles and Antioch Santa Barbara have been unified under Antioch University. Bethany College closed its doors in fall of 2011. In addition, the withdrawal of all programs previously operated by Occidental College means the institution is no longer an approved program sponsor.

Reactivation of Inactive Program

An inactive program may be re-activated only when the institution submits a request to the COA and the COA has taken action to reactive the program. If the program standards under which the program was approved have been modified, the institution or program sponsor must address the updated standards before the program may be re-activated.

Reactivation Requests in 2011-2012	
Institution	Program
University of La Verne	Professional Clear Administrative Services Credential
University of Redlands	CTEL Program
California Lutheran University	Multiple and Single Subject Intern Programs

**Section III:
Proposed Work Plan for the Committee on Accreditation in 2012-2013**

Purpose 1. Ensure Accountability to the Public and to the Profession

- a) *Maintain public access to the Committee on Accreditation.* All Committee meetings will continue to be held in public and all meeting agendas posted in accordance with the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. In addition, meetings will be transmitted via audio broadcast to allow any individual with access to the internet the ability to hear live or recorded broadcasts of all Committee meetings. The Commission’s website will continue to be utilized fully to provide agenda items, notification of meetings, as well as broad-based access to critical accreditation materials for institutions and others interested in accreditation. Meetings are scheduled for the following dates:

August 16, 2012
October 18, 2012
February 7, 2013
April 18, 2013
June 27, 2013

In 2012-2013, the *PSD ENews*, Program Sponsor Alerts, and press releases will be routinely used to ensure a transparent accreditation process. Additionally, frequent technical assistance workshops on the various aspects of the accreditation process and procedures will also be provided to ensure broad understanding of accreditation requirements and expectations.

- b) *Preparation and presentation of COA reports to the Commission.* The Committee on Accreditation will present its annual report to the Commission in the fall/winter. Additional updates and reports to the Commission will be provided as necessary and appropriate throughout the year.
- c) *Commission liaison.* Maintaining a liaison from the Commission to the COA continues to be a critical aspect of the current process. The Commission’s liaison will continue to provide an important perspective to COA discussions and serve as an effective means of communication between the COA and the Commission.

Purpose 2. Ensure Program Quality

- a) *Professional accreditation of institutions and their credential preparation programs.* This is one of the principal ongoing tasks of the Committee on Accreditation. The COA has been given full responsibility for making the legal decisions regarding the continuing

professional education accreditation of institutions and their credential programs. However, the Commission acted in June 2012 to postpone all but one accreditation site visit for 2012-13 due to the significant fiscal situation faced by the Commission.

- b) *Review and revise the Accreditation Handbook.* The *Accreditation Handbook* explicates the processes and procedures of the various components of the accreditation system. The COA completed a comprehensive review and update of the Accreditation Handbook in 2011-12. During the summer of 2012, these updated chapters will be posted on the website. As the Commission examines the system for refinement and streamlining in 2012, the COA and staff will continue to keep in mind any changes in the system that are made to ensure they are reflected appropriately in the Handbook.
- c) *Receive regular updates on Commission activities related to accreditation and provide Commission with advice on issues related to accreditation as requested by the Commission.* Staff will continue to prepare agenda items for the COA on issues related to the Commission's work as directed by the Commission or as appropriate. The COA will continue to discuss issues referred to it by the Commission and provide guidance as appropriate.

In particular in 2012-13, the COA will begin to implement and address the 14 recommendations for accreditation approved by the Commission at its June 2012 meeting.

Purpose 3. Ensure Adherence to Standards

- a) *Review and take action to grant initial approval of new credential programs.* This is also one of the major ongoing tasks of the Committee on Accreditation. The COA has developed procedures for handling the submission of proposed credential programs. Some of the decisions are made on the basis of expert review panel recommendations and some are made on the basis of staff recommendations. In all cases, programs will not be given initial approval until the reviewers have determined that all of the Commission's standards are met. This review process will continue in 2012-13 despite budget constraints.
- b) *Conduct and review program assessment activities.* In 2012-13, institutions in the Indigo cohort will be completing the program assessment process. However, the Commission's action to postpone some accreditation activities in 2012-13 impacts program assessment. As a result, the Commission will continue to complete program assessment for the institutions in the Indigo cohort, while those in the Blue cohort that would have been submitting program assessment documents in fall 2012 will not be submitting until fall 2013. (A cohort list and the institutions in each cohort is provided in Appendix C.)

In addition, the Commission's accreditation system has a considerable work load in the Education Specialist area with respect to program assessment. As previously discussed, institutions transitioning to new program standards are provided one year of operation under the new standards prior to submitting a program document, or must submit one during the regular program assessment period should the timelines be acceptable. A significant number of program assessment documents will be reviewed for alignment with the new Education Specialist program standards as the timeline for transitioning many of these programs has past.

Further, as part of the discussion regarding streamlining the accreditation process, the COA will begin the discussion of whether revisions to the types of documentation necessary for program assessment will take place in the summer/fall of 2012.

- c) *Conduct technical assistance visits to institutions new to accreditation.* The COA typically considers the issues identified by technical assistance review teams in their review of institutions new to the accreditation process in California. Review teams provide technical assistance to these institutions in preparation for a full accreditation site visit. However, because the Commission acted to postpone accreditation site visits, no technical assistance visits will take place in 2012-13. A list of institutions that would have typically been scheduled for a technical assistance site visit in the 2012-13 year, but that will now be scheduled for one in 2013-14, is included in Appendix B.
- d) *Disseminate information related to the Commission's Common Standards.* Efforts to assist institutions in understanding the Commission's Common Standards will continue in 2012-13 through a variety of strategies. Common Standard 2 will continue to be a primary focus of these efforts, although additional assistance is likely needed across all Common Standards.
- e) *Integrate Induction programs into the Commission's accreditation system.* The COA took action in January 2009 to transition Induction programs into the Commission's accreditation system beginning July 1, 2009. Commission staff will continue to work with the Cluster Regional Directors to refine, improve and streamline the processes related to accreditation of Induction programs.
- f) *Continue the discussion of how Subject Matter Programs can be included in the accreditation system.* With the Commission's action in fall 2006 that all programs leading to an authorization to teach or provide services in California's public schools need to be reviewed through the Commission's accreditation system, the subject matter programs are the only programs that have not been integrated into the accreditation system. Due to budget constraints, this work is unlikely to take place in 2012-13.
- g) *Determine and enact effective strategies for reviewing those standards related to the implementation of the Teaching Performance Assessment.* During 2009-10, the Commission staff, the Committee on Accreditation, and the Teaching Performance Assessment Users Advisory Committee (UAC) began discussing more effective strategies for reviewing those standards related to the Teaching Performance Assessment to ensure appropriate implementation. These strategies began being implemented in 2010-11 and continued in 2011-12. Discussions about how well these strategies are working will continue to be part of the streamlining discussion about accreditation in general. In addition, further training will be considered to better prepare site visit team members reviewing the implementation of the teaching performance assessment.

Purpose 4. Foster Program Improvement

- a) *Collect, analyze, and report on the biennial reports submitted in fall 2012.* The 2011-2012 academic year will be the fifth full year of implementation of the biennial report component of the revised accreditation system. All institutions in the Violet, Yellow and Blue cohorts are required to submit candidate competence and performance data in fall

2012. A major focus of the effort will be to provide assistance to institutions as they prepare their biennial report and to analyze information from institutions to ensure appropriate responses to the requirements of the biennial report. (A cohort list, and the institutions in each cohort, is provided in Appendix C.)

- b) *Continued development of the evaluation system for the accreditation system.* Although site visits have been deferred for a year, it is expected that the Commission and the COA will use this time period to make improvements and refinements in its accreditation processes. The evaluation data available on the accreditation system will be critical to ensuring that any changes are appropriate and strengthen the accreditation system. Examining the data from the previous year's site visits will be discussed with the COA members at the October 2012 meeting. Additional work will be undertaken to improve the information the Commission has about the efficacy of program assessment and biennial reporting.
- c) *Continue partnership with the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) and efforts to collaborate with other national accrediting bodies, where appropriate.* The Partnership Agreement with NCATE was renewed in 2007 and is effective through 2014. The COA will continue monitoring the agreement to make certain that the implementation of the partnership results in assuring that state issues are appropriately addressed in each joint NCATE-CTC visit and that the process reduces duplication. In June 2011, the COA had begun discussions about a revised protocol in light of the unification of NCATE and TEAC into the new organization, Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). However, subsequent direction from NCATE suggested they temporarily halted the development of all new protocols until after the unification details have been worked out. The COA will continue discussing a new protocol in 2012-13 since the unification of TEAC and NCATE is progressing.
- d) *Monitor the agreement detailing how the Commission's accreditation system can function in alignment with the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC).* In 2009-10, the COA took action to adopt the initial agreement with TEAC. Chapman University was the first institution in California that earned TEAC accreditation. Because of the unification of TEAC and NCATE, and because no other entity in California has indicated a desire to move toward TEAC accreditation, Commission activities in this area in 2011-12 were limited. However, the formal TEAC Partnership Agreement will expire in 2012. The Commission staff will discuss this with TEAC personnel in the coming months and bring back information that presents the options available given the fact that TEAC and NCATE are unifying to form CAEP.
- e) *Explore ways to align and streamline the accreditation of other national and professional organizations with that of the state processes.* In 2011, the Commission staff participated in meetings with the representatives of the School Social Work community. Preliminary work was done to identify the areas of alignment between the Commission PPS: School Social Work standards and the Council on Social Work Education Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (CSWE-EPAS) 2008. In 2012-13, it is anticipated that work could continue to collect stakeholder feedback on the draft alignment matrix and to make refinements. The final document is expected to be presented for consideration and possible adoption in 2012-13. Should the Commission receive requests for analysis of the alignment of other national and professional

organization standards with those of the Commission, the COA will review the analysis, consistent with its responsibilities set forth in the Education Code, and determine issues of comparability.

General Operations

In addition to the above mentioned items, the COA will engage in routine matters necessary for general operations of the Committee. This includes the election of Co-Chairs, the adoption of a meeting schedule, and orientation of new members.

Appendix A 2011-2012 Accreditation Activities

For a list of all institution in each cohort, please see Appendix C

Biennial Reports Fall 2011

Red Cohort	Green Cohort	Indigo Cohort
California State University	California State University	California State University
Dominguez Hills	Channel Islands	Bakersfield
Los Angeles	East Bay	Cal Poly, Pomona
Monterey Bay	San Bernardino	Chico
Sonoma State	Private/Independents	Humboldt
University of California	Cal Lutheran Univ.	Long Beach
Berkeley	Mills College	San Marcos
Los Angeles	Notre Dame de Namur University	Private/Independents
Santa Cruz	Patten University	Azusa Pacific University
Private/Independents	Simpson University	Bethany University
Concordia University	Western Governors University	Brandman University
Pacific Union College	Westmont College	Fielding Graduate University
Pepperdine University	Local Education Agencies	Mount St. Mary's College
Point Loma Nazarene University	Antioch USD (101)	University of Redlands
University of San Diego	Bakersfield City SD (301)	University of San Francisco
Local Education Agencies	Castaic Union SD (432)	University of Southern California
Davis Joint USD (104)	Evergreen SD (229)	Local Education Agencies
Marin COE (110)	Fairfield-Suisun USD (107)	Animo Leadership (Green Dot) (438)
Placer COE (114)	Fresno COE (304)	Baldwin Park USD (403)
Sutter County SOS (121))	Garden Grove USD (532)	Brentwood Union SD (108)
Campbell Union SD (203)	Hacienda La Puente USD (410)	Central USD (302)
Contra Costa COE (204)	La Mesa-Spring Valley SD (512)	Fullerton SD (516)
Oakland USD (212)	Los Angeles COE (413)	High Tech High (537)
Pleasanton USD (230)	Madera COE	Lancaster SD (608)
Redwood City SD (214)	Merced COE (312)	Madera USD (310)
Bay Area School of Enterprise	Montebello USD (417)	Metropolitan Education District
REACH (234)	Newark USD (205)	Monterey COE (209)
Manteca USD (311)	Oceanside USD (517)	Ocean View SD (530)
Tulare City SD (318)	San Bernardino City USD (614)	Orange County DOE (518)
Hanford ESD (321)	San Diego COE (515/525)	Pasadena USD (419)
Dos Palos Oro Lomo JUSD(323)	San Juan USD (117)	Placentia-Yorba Linda USD (520)
Burbank USD (405)	San Mateo-Foster City SD (233)	Sacramento COE (115)
Culver City USD (407)	Santa Ana USD (533)	San Diego USD (522)
Los Angeles USD (414/433)	Saugus Union SD (423)	San Dieguito Union HSD (524)
Temple City USD (425)		San Joaquin COE (315)
Arcadia USD (435)		San Jose USD (216)
Chula Vista ESD (505)		San Ramon Valley USD (222)
Cajon Valley Union SD (506)		Santa Clara COE
Orange USD (519)		Santa Monica-Malibu USD (424)
Poway USD (521)		Stockton USD (119)
Riverside COE (612)		Tracy USD (120)
		Ventura COE (228)
		Visalia USD (320)
		Vista USD (529)
		West Covina USD (427)
		Westside Union SD (615)

Biennial Reports Due Fall 2012

Violet Cohort

California State University

Fresno

San Francisco State

University of California

Davis

Irvine

San Diego

Other Sponsors

Boston Reed College

Salinas Union HSD-Adult

Private/Independents

Antioch Univ. Los Angeles

Claremont Graduate Univ.

Hebrew Union College

Hope International Univ.

La Sierra University

National University (S)*!

Pacific Oaks College

Local Education Agencies

Antelope Valley UHSD (601)

Compton USD (434)

Cupertino Union SD (236)

El Dorado COE (105)

Envision Schools (235)

Escondido Union HSD (507)

ICEF Public Schools/LAUSD (436)

Imperial COE (511)

Irvine USD (535)

Keppel Union SD (607)

Kern County SOS (307)

Los Banos USD (325)

Murrieta Valley USD (616)

New Haven USD (211)

Palo Alto USD (213)

Palos Verdes Peninsula USD (416)

Sacramento City USD (116)

San Francisco USD (215)

Sanger USD (324)

Selma USD (316)

Sequoia Union HSD (227)

Washington USD (125)

Yellow Cohort

California State University

Northridge

San Diego State

Stanislaus

Private/Independents

Biola University

Fresno Pacific University

Loyola Marymount University

National Hispanic University

San Diego Christian College

Santa Clara University

Touro University

Whittier College

William Jessup University

Local Education Agencies

Anaheim City SD (501)

Capistrano USD (504)

Chino Valley USD (603)

Clovis USD (303)

Etiwanda SD (605)

Lodi USD (109)

Napa COE (111)

Ontario-Montclair SD (609)

Panama-Buena Vista USD (314)

Pomona USD (420)

Riverside USD (613)

Rowland USD (421)

Saddleback Valley USD (528)

San Gabriel USD (422)

Santa Clara USD (225)

Santa Cruz COE (226)

Sonoma COE (112)

Stanislaus COE (317)

Sweetwater Union HSD (526)

Walnut Valley USD (428)

Blue Cohort

California State University

Fullerton

University of California

Riverside

Private/Independents

Alliant International University

Argosy University

Dominican University of CA

Drexel University

Holy Names University

Loma Linda University

Phillips Graduate Institute

Stanford University

United States University

Vanguard University

Local Education Agencies

Bellflower USD (404)

CA School for the Deaf/Fremont (238)

Corona-Norco USD (604)

Elk Grove USD (106)

Encinitas Union SD (514)

Escondido Union SD (508)

Fresno USD (305)

Glendale USD (409)

Greenfield Union SD (306)

Grossmont Union HSD (510)

Kern High SD (308)

Lawndale ESD (411)

Long Beach USD (412)

Magnolia Public Schools (538): Pacific
Technology School – Orange County

Mt. Diablo USD (210): Fortune School
of Education

Oak Grove SD (237)

Palmdale SD (610)

PUC Schools (437)

San Luis Obispo COE (218)

San Mateo COE (219)

Tehama County DOE (113)

Torrance USD (426)

Tulare COE (319)

Tustin USD (527)

Vallejo City USD (123)

Wiseburn SD

Wm. S. Hart Union HSD (429)

Program Assessment	
Institutions Completing Program Assessment Process in 2011-2012	Violet
Program Assessment has been put on hold for the 2012-2013	

2011-2012 Site Visits (Red Cohort)
ACSA Arcadia USD (435) Bay Area School for Enterprise/REACH Burbank USD CalStateTEACH Campbell USD (203) Chula Vista ESD (505) Concordia Contra Costa COE (204) CSU Dominguez Hills CSU Sacramento CSULA Culver City USD (407) Davis Joint USD (104) Hanford ESD (321) Los Angeles USD (414/433) Manteca USD (311) Marin COE (110) Oakland USD (212) Orange USD Pacific Union Pepperdine Placer COE (114) Pleasanton USD (230) Poway USD (521) Pt. Loma Nazarene Redwood City (214) Riverside COE (612) Sonoma State St. Mary's College Sutter COE (121) Temple City USD (425) Tulare City ESD (318) U San Diego UC Berkeley UC Santa Barbara UC Santa Cruz UCLA

Institutions with a Revisit 2011-12

California Polytechnic State
University, San Luis Obispo
Kings County Office of
Education

Rialto USD
CSU Stanislaus

Occidental College
The Masters' College

Institutions with a Technical Assistance Site Visits 2011-2012

ICEF Public Schools/LAUSD

Animo Leadership Charter HS (Green Dot)

Appendix B
2012-2013 Accreditation Activities

For a list of all institutions in each cohort, please see Appendix C

Biennial Reports			
Due Fall 2012	Violet cohort	Yellow Cohort	Blue Cohort

Program Assessment	
Institution Completing Program Assessment Process in 2012-2013	Indigo cohort
Program Assessment is on hiatus during 2012-2013	---

Institutions with a Site Visits
Site Visits are on hiatus during 2012-2013 with the exception of Bard College

Institutions with a Revisit
University of California, Los Angeles

**Appendix C
Cohort Membership by Institution**

Cohort	RED	ORANGE	YELLOW
2012-2013	Year 7 7th Year Follow-Up	Year 1 --	Year 2 Biennial Report
	CSU	CSU	CSU
	Dominguez Hills Los Angeles Sonoma State	Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo CalState TEACH Sacramento San Jose State	Northridge San Diego State Stanislaus
	UC	UC	UC
	Berkeley Los Angeles Santa Cruz	Santa Barbara	--
	LEA	LEA	LEA
	Arcadia USD Bay Area School of Enterprise/REACH Burbank USD Cajon Valley Union SD Campbell Union SD Chula Vista ESD Contra Costa COE Culver City USD Dos Palos Oro Lomo JUSD Hanford ESD Los Angeles USD Manteca USD Oakland USD Orange USD Pleasanton USD Poway USD Redwood City SD Riverside COE Sutter County SOS Temple City USD Tulare City SD	Alhambra USD Anaheim Union HSD Aspire Public Schools Azusa USD Butte COE Conejo Valley USD El Rancho USD Fontana USD Fremont USD Hayward USD Kings COE Merced Union HSD Milpitas USD Modesto City Schools Paramount USD Rialto USD San Marcos USD Santa Barbara CEO Santa Rosa City Schools School for Integrated Science and Technology/SIA Tech West Contra Costa USD	Anaheim City SD Capistrano USD Chino Valley USD Clovis USD Etiwanda SD Ontario-Montclair SD Panama-Buena Vista Union SD Pomona USD Riverside USD Rowland USD Saddleback Valley USD San Gabriel USD Santa Clara USD Santa Cruz COE Sonoma COE Stanislaus COE Sweetwater Union HSD Walnut Valley USD
	Private/Independent	Private/Independent	Private/Independent
	Concordia University Pacific Union College Pepperdine University Point Loma Nazarene University of San Diego	California Baptist University Chapman University~ Occidental College St. Mary's College of Calif. The Master's College University of La Verne University of Phoenix University of the Pacific	Biola University Fresno Pacific University Loyola Marymount University (S)* National Hispanic University San Diego Christian College Santa Clara University Touro University Whittier College William Jessup University
	Other Sponsors	Other Sponsors	Other Sponsors
		ACSA	x
Total	32	35	30
Site Visit	2019-2020	2018-2019	2017-2018

Cohort	GREEN	BLUE	INDIGO	VIOLET
2012-2013	Year 3 Biennial Report	Year 4 --	Year 5 Biennial Report	Year 6 --
	CSU	CSU	CSU	CSU
	Channel Islands East Bay San Bernardino	Fullerton	Bakersfield Cal Poly, Pomona Chico Humboldt Long Beach San Marcos	Fresno San Francisco State Monterey Bay
	UC	UC	UC	UC
	--	Riverside	--	Davis Irvine San Diego
	LEA	LEA	LEA	LEA
	Antioch USD Bakersfield City SD Castaic Union SD Evergreen SD Fairfield-Suisun City SD Fresno COE Garden Grove USD Hacienda La Puente USD La Mesa-Spring Valley SD Los Angeles COE Madera COE Merced COE Montebello USD Newark USD Oceanside USD San Bernardino City Schools San Diego COE San Juan USD San Mateo-Foster Santa Ana USD Saugus Union SD	Bellflower USD CA School for the Deaf Chaffey Joint Union HSD Corona-Norco USD Elk Grove USD Encinitas Union SD Escondido Union SD Fortune School of Ed. Fresno USD Glendale USD Greenfield Union SD Grossmont Union HSD Kern High SD Lawndale ESD Long Beach USD Magnolia Public Schools Mt. Diablo USD Oak Grove SD Pacific Technology Palmdale SD PUC Schools San Luis Obispo COE San Mateo COE School-Orange Co. Tehama County DOE Torrance USD Tulare COE Tustin USD Vallejo City USD Wiseburn SD	Brentwood Union SD Sacramento COE Stockton USD Tracy USD Monterey COE San Jose USD San Ramon Valley USD Ventura COE Central USD Madera US) San Joaquin COE Visalia USD Baldwin Park USD Pasadena USD Santa Monica-Malibu USD West Covina USD Animo Leadership Charter HS: Green Dot Fullerton SD Orange County DOE Placentia-Yorba Linda USD San Diego USD San Dieguito Union HSD Vista USD Ocean View SD High Tech High Lancaster SD Westside Union SD Metropolitan Education District Santa Clara COE	Antelope Valley Union HSD Compton USD Cupertino Union SD Envision Schools Escondido Union HSD ICEF Public Schools (LAUSD) Imperial COE Irvine USD Keppel Union SD Kern County SOS Los Banos USD Murrieta Valley USD New Haven USD Newport-Mesa USD Palo Alto USD Palos Verdes Peninsula USD San Francisco USD Sanger USD Selma USD Sequoia Union HSD Washington USD Norwalk-La Mirada USD Wm. S. Hart Union HSD
	Private/Independent	Private/Independent	Private/Independent	Private/Independent
	Cal Lutheran Univ. Mills College Notre Dame de Namur Univ.	Alliant International Univ. Argosy University Bard College	Azusa Pacific University Bethany University Brandman University	Antioch University Claremont Graduate Univ. Hebrew Union

	Patten University Simpson University Western Governors Univ. Westmont College	Dominican University Drexel University Holy Names University Loma Linda University Phillips Graduate Univ. Stanford University United States University University of CA Vanguard University	Fielding Graduate University Mount St. Mary's College Teachers College of San Joaquin University of Redlands University of San Francisco	College Hope International Univ. La Sierra University National University Pacific Oaks College University of Southern California
	Other Sponsors	Other Sponsors	Other Sponsors	Other Sponsors
	--	--	--	Salinas Union HSD-Adult School
Total	31	41	43	38
Site Visit	2016-2017	2015-2016	2014-2015	2013-2014