

**Child Development Permit Advisory Panel
Minutes of the November 9-10, 2015 Meeting**

Advisory Panel Members Attending

Deborah Stipek, Chair
Sharla Brechbill
Kate Browne
Jan DeLapp
Lucia Garay
Joel Gordon
Guillermina Hernandez
Nancy Hurlbut
Mary Jane Maguire-Fong
Valerie Marquez
Elaine Merriweather
Erin Rosselli
Vilma Serrano
Pedro Sousa
Sherri Springer
Kisha Williamson
Julianne Zvalo-Martyn

Day 1 (Monday, November 9)

Item I: Call to Order

Child Development Permit Advisory Panel (CDP AP) Chair Dr. Deborah Stipek opened the meeting at 10:18 a.m. by welcoming the advisory panel and inviting advisory panel members, liaisons to the panel and members of the public in attendance to briefly introduce themselves.

Item III: Review of Previous Meeting

Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Commission) Executive Director Mary Vixie Sanday reviewed the charge of the panel, the work it has accomplished, and how it will be integrated into the work of the current meeting.

Several advisory panel members shared information they received between meetings from various stakeholder groups with which they had contact.

Item IV: Further Discussion of the Child Development Permit Matrix

Advisory panel members were invited to review the compendium of suggestions for changes to the permit structure that were developed for them by staff based on the work of the panel at its previous meetings. Advisory panel members were then broken into four groups to analyze the existing Child Development Permit matrix, with a focus on the preparation requirements. The groups were asked to identify changes/make recommendations, if necessary, providing rationale for any recommended changes to the permit levels.

Lunch

Item II: Approval of the Minutes of the October 2015 Meeting

Advisory Panel member Jan DeLapp moved approval of the October 2015 Child Development Permit Advisory Panel meeting minutes. Advisory Panel member Kisha Williamson seconded the motion. The motion carried without dissent.

Item IV: Further discussion of the Child Development Permit Matrix – continued

The groups reported out on and the advisory panel discussed the morning's work.

A presentation was made to the advisory panel by Carolyn Pfister and Jennifer Moreno of the California State Board of Education's (SBE) on behalf of its Early Math Project. A letter from Michael Kirst, President of the SBE, accompanied the presentation in support of including adequate, high quality mathematics in early childhood education.

Item V: Further Discussion of Content and Performance Outcomes

Advisory panel members went back into their four small groups to analyze the current requirements for the preparation of Child Development permit candidates and identify changes/make recommendations, if necessary, providing rationale for any recommended changes.

Item VI: Public Comment on Matters not Included on the Agenda

Jan Fish, PEACH in Los Angeles County, shared how PEACH has also been intrigued and challenged by integrating "CTC speak" within the ECE competencies. She suggested the competencies could be organized into domains in vertical strands.

June Milllovich, Director, Curriculum Alignment Project, shared that she has been hearing from and speaking to people in the field who are anxious about the tinkering with the CAP 8; however, most are excited at having an extra set of eyes and are supportive of changes that may be suggested by the panel.

Pamela Rigg, Executive Director, Montessori Council of California, suggested that diverse delivery systems of ECE or child development might include Montessori. She pointed out that CAP and Montessori curriculum are fairly well aligned and shared a handout with the panel. She noted that CTC does not recognize Montessori's federal accreditation.

Rachel Champagne, Child Development Inc., introduced herself as a contractor who has been working extensively with the California Department of Education. She cautioned the panel to keep in mind whether any changes to the Child Development Permit matrix will still work for funders serving afterschool programs?

Day 2 (Tuesday, November 10)

Item VII: Opening

Chair Stipek called the meeting to order at 8:40 a.m. Meeting facilitator Janelle Kubinec of WestEd reviewed the previous day's work and set expectations for the work of the day.

Item V: Further Discussion of Content and Performance Outcomes – continued

Advisory panel members went back into their four small groups to continue their analysis of the current requirements for the preparation of Child Development permit candidates and identify changes/make recommendations, if necessary, providing rationale for any recommended changes.

Item VIII: Discussion of the Early Childhood Education Specialist Credential

Commission staff presented information on the existing Early Childhood Specialist Credential for consideration and discussion by the advisory panel.

Item V: Further Discussion of Content and Performance Outcomes – continued

Ms. Kubinec provided a synthesis of the groups' work products and guided the advisory panel, as a whole group, in a discussion and analysis of these outcomes.

Item IX: Streamlining the Process

This item was not presented.

Item X: Next Steps and Future Meetings

Chair Stipek, Executive Director Sandy and Ms. Kubinec outlined a vision for expectations of the final meeting which will be held on December 14-15 in Oakland, CA.

Item XI: Public Comment on Matter not Included on the Agenda

Item IIX: Closing and Next Steps

Chair Stipek adjourned the meeting at 2:40 p.m.